

UTILITIES & UNDERGROUND INVESTIGATIONS

| ABBF | REVIATIONS | & SYME | OLS | | | |
|-------|------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| 1D 5C | 1 Duct 5 Cables | | CPC | Circ Plastic Chamber | EOT | End Of Trace |
| ø | Diameter | | CrL | Crown Level | IBD | Internal Backdrop |
| AR | Assumed Route | | DCr | Depth To Crown | IL | Invert Level |
| BL | Base Level | | DI | Depth To Invert | RBC | Rectangular Brick Chambe |
| СВ | Concrete Benchir | ng | DS | Depth To Surcharge | RCC | Rectangular Conc Chambe |
| CBC | Circular Brick Chamber | | DTB | Depth To Base | SL | Silt Level |
| ccc | Circular Conc Chamber | | DTW | Depth To Water | SuL | Surcharge Level |
| CL | Cover Level | | DTS | Depth To Silt | UTC | Unable To CCTV |
| | — вт —— | BT CABI | E(S) | • | UTL | Unable To Lift |
| | — 0/BT ——— | OVERHEAD BT CABLE(S) | | | UTT | Unable To Trace |
| COMMU | | COMMU | NICATIC | NICATIONS CABLE(S) | | Taken From Records |
| | | CABLE | IV CABL | E(S) | EBD | Extornal Backdrop |
| | 0 /F | | | E(S) CTRIC CABLE(S) | LDD | |
| | — FO — — | FIBREO | PTIC CA | BIF(S) | | |
| | | GAS MA | IN | DEE(0) | | |
| | | GAS SEI | RVICE | | | |
| | GPR | GROUN | D PENE | FRATING RADAR (GPR) | TRACE | E |
| | — нw ——— | HOT WA | TER PIF | ΡE | | |
| | — LC ——— | LIGHTIN | G CIRCI | JIT | | |
| | | SECURI | TY CABL | .ING | 18 93 | UTILITIES COMMENT BOX |
| | — UIT ——— | UNIDEN | TIFIED T | RACE | .0.00 | |
| | — WM ——— | WATER | MAIN | WM | 10.61 | DEPTH TO SERVICE |
| | — WS ——— | WATER | SERVIC | E 🖌 🛄 | 10.01 | |
| | | COMBIN | IED SEW | /ER | | |
| | · 🔶 · — | FOUL SE | EWER | | | |
| | | RISING | MAIN | | | |
| | — — | SURFAC | E WATE | R SEWER | | |
| | | UTILITIE | S INVES | STIGATION EXTENTS | | |

DRAWING NOTES All below ground details shown have been identified from above ground without

excavation. Survey Solution use electro-magnetic and/or ground penetrating radar (GPR) methods to investigate for underground utilities, services and features. Results using these methods are not infallible and we recommend trial excavations are carried out to confirm any identifications, positions and depths.
Any areas on the drawing where services or features have not been shown are not necessarily clear of services or features but are an indication that no items have been identified during our investigations. All reasonable care and normal good practice should still be employed during design and construction processes.
Certain types of services such as plastic or concrete pipes, some conduit and ducting where direct access can not be achieved for tracing may not be shown and alternative locating methods should be used.

Survey Solutions has used all reasonable care to research available service records but the completeness or use of the service records supplied to or by Survey Solutions cannot be guaranteed. Therefore Survey Solutions cannot be held responsible for any features annotated as 'taken from records' (TFR). Depths obtained using electro-magnetic or GPR are effected by ground conditions and should be treated as indicative only. Electro-magnetic depths to

utilities and services are generally taken to the centre of a feature, GPR depths to the top of a feature and drainage depth shown to inverts, unless otherwise indicated. Drainage pipe sizes will be obtained without entering the camber and therefore should be treated as approximate. Pipe dimensions which have not been obtained visually will be taken from records when available.

All services, drainage and utilities routes are assumed straight between access points, unless otherwise stated. The numbers of cables in runs will not be shown unless specifically requested. All services are below ground unless indicated. Services, utilities and features may not have been surveyed if obstructed or not

reasonably visible or accessible at the time of survey.
Survey Solutions accept no responsibility for the completeness or accuracy of either the topographical survey or base mapping on this project.
All critical dimensions and measurements should be checked and verified with any errors or discrepancies notified to Survey Solutions immediately. The accuracy of the digital data is the same as the plotting scale implies. All dimensions are in metres unless otherwise stated.

The contractor must check and verify all site and building dimensions, levels, utilities and drainage details and connections prior to commencing work. © Land Survey Solutions Limited hold the copyright to all the information contained within this document and their written consent must be obtained before copying or using the data other than for the purpose it was originally supplied. Do not scale from this drawing.

 AVAILABILITY OF UTILITY RECORD DRAWINGS

 UTILITY
 AVAILABILITY
 UTILITY
 AVAILABILITY
 UTILITY
 AVAILABILITY

 SEWER
 SITE
 BT
 PUBLIC
 OIL PIPES
 SITE

 WATER MAIN
 SITE
 CABLE TV
 SITE
 OTHERS
 NO

GAS MAIN PUBLIC ELECTRICITY SITE

| | | | 1 1 | I |
|--|--|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| DESCRIPTIC | | | DRAWN | APPR DATE |
| Ipswich Co Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s | Ventry Yeovil 0405 969 solutions.co.uk | Norwich Perth | Nottingham Fax No: (quiries@survey-s | CI DNS Brentwood 0845 0405 970 solutions.co.uk |
| | EYING BUILDING | G SURVEYING U | JNDERGROUND | SURVEYING |
| PROJECT TITL WEST CAN MADINGLE | E /BRIDGE DEI EY ROAD, CB | NSIFICATION 3 0EL | I SCHEME | |
| DRAWING DET UTILITIES SHEET 7 C | AND DRAINA DF 29 | GE INVESTIC | GATION | |
| CLIENT PETER BR | ETT ASSOCI | ATES | | SCALE 1:200 |
| SURVEYOR DWR/PI | SURVEY DATE 03/11/2014 | CHECKED BY JTM | APPROVED BY RAG | DWG STATUS FINAL |
| DRAWING NUMBER 15415UG-07 | | | REVISION | ISSUE DATE APR 2015 |



UTILITIES & UNDERGROUND INVESTIGATIONS ABBREVIATIONS & SYMBOLS 1D 5C 1 Duct 5 Cables CPC Circ Plastic Chamber EOT End Of Trace Ø Diameter CrL Crown Level IBD Internal Backdrop ARAssumed RouteBLBase LevelCBConcrete Benching DCr Depth To Crown IL Invert Level DI Depth To Invert RBC Rectangular Brick Chamber CBC Controlete benching US Depth To Surcharge RCC Rectangular C. CBC Circular Brick Chamber DTB Depth To Base SL Silt Level CCC Circular Conc Chamber DTW Depth To Water SuL Surcharge Level CL Cover Level DTS Depth To Silt UTC Unable To CCTV BT BT CABLE(S) UTL Unable To CTace COM OVERHEAD BT CABLE(S) UTT Unable To Trace COM COMMUNICATIONS CABLE(S) TFR Taken From Records E ELECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop OVERHEAD ELECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop OVERHEAD ELECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop OVE OVERHEAD ELECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop OVE GAS SERVICE GROUND PENETRATING RADAR (GPR) TRACE HW HW HOT WATER PIPE UC UIGHTING CIRCUIT SEC SEC SECURITY CABLING UTILITIES COMMENT BOX DEPTH TO SERVICE OMM WATER SERVICE COMBINED SEWER FOU DS Depth To Surcharge RCC Rectangular Conc Chamber

DRAWING NOTES

excavation. Survey Solution use electro-magnetic and/or ground without radar (GPR) methods to investigate for underground utilities, services and features. Results using these methods are not infallible and we recommend trial excavations are carried out to confirm any identifications, positions and depths. Any areas on the drawing where services or features have not been shown are not necessarily clear of services or features but are an indication that no items have been identified during our investigations. All reasonable care and normal good practice should still be employed during design and construction processes. Certain types of services such as plastic or concrete pipes, some conduit and ducting where direct access can not be achieved for tracing may not be shown and alternative locating methods should be used.

All below ground details shown have been identified from above ground without

Survey Solutions has used all reasonable care to research available service records but the completeness or use of the service records supplied to or by Survey Solutions cannot be guaranteed. Therefore Survey Solutions cannot be held responsible for any features annotated as 'taken from records' (TFR). Depths obtained using electro-magnetic or GPR are effected by ground conditions and should be treated as indicative only. Electro-magnetic depths to

utilities and services are generally taken to the centre of a feature, GPR depths to the top of a feature and drainage depth shown to inverts, unless otherwise indicated. Drainage pipe sizes will be obtained without entering the camber and therefore should be treated as approximate. Pipe dimensions which have not been

obtained visually will be taken from records when available.

All services, drainage and utilities routes are assumed straight between access points, unless otherwise stated. The numbers of cables in runs will not be shown unless specifically requested. All services are below ground unless indicated. Services, utilities and features may not have been surveyed if obstructed or not

reasonably visible or accessible at the time of survey. Survey Solutions accept no responsibility for the completeness or accuracy of either the topographical survey or base mapping on this project. All critical dimensions and measurements should be checked and verified with any errors or discrepancies notified to Survey Solutions immediately. The accuracy of the digital data is the same as the plotting scale implies. All

dimensions are in metres unless otherwise stated. The contractor must check and verify all site and building dimensions, levels, utilities and drainage details and connections prior to commencing work. © Land Survey Solutions Limited hold the copyright to all the information contained within this document and their written consent must be obtained before copying or using the data other than for the purpose it was originally supplied. Do not scale from this drawing.

AVAILABILITY OF UTILITY RECORD DRAWINGS UTILITY AVAILABILITY UTILITY AVAILABILITY UTILITY AVAILABILITY

| SEWER | SITE | BT | PUBLIC | OIL PIPES | SITE |
|----------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|------|
| GAS MAIN | PUBLIC | ELECTRICITY | SITE | OTHERS | NO |
| | | | | | |

| REV | DESCRIPTION | | | DRAWN | APPR | DATE |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | S | | RV JTI | E | Y |
| lp: | swich Coventry | S Yeovil I | SU OLU | RV JTIC | E DN Brent | Y IS wood |
| lp: Te ww | swich Coventry | Yeovil I 9 .co.uk | SUS OLU Norwich Perth | RVA DTIC Nottinghan Fax No: guiries@survey | Brent 0845 04 | Y IS wood |
| Ip: Te wv | swich Coventry I No: 0845 0405 96 nw.survey-solutions ND SURVEYING | Yeovil I 9 .co.uk BUILDING | SURVEYING L | RVA DTIC Nottinghan Fax No. guiries@survey UNDERGROUM | Brentt 0845 04 solutions | Y IS wood 05 970 s.co.uk EYING |
| Ip: Te wv LA PR WI | Swich Coventry I No: 0845 0405 96 W.SURVEY:Solutions ND SURVEYING I OJECT TITLE EST CAMBRID ADINGLEY ROA | 9 .co.uk BUILDING GE DEN AD, CB3 | Norwich Perth | RV DTIC Nottinghan Fax No: quiries@survey UNDERGROUN | Brent 0845 04 solutions | Y IS wood 05 970 s.co.uk EYING |
| Ip Te WV LA PR VI M/ DR UT SH | Swich Coventry I No: 0845 0405 96 AW.SURVEYING I DIJECT TITLE EST CAMBRID ADINGLEY ROA AWING DETAIL TILITIES AND DI HEET 8 OF 29 | 9 .co.uk BUILDING GE DEN AD, CB3 | SURVEYING L SURVEYING L SURVEYING L SURVESTIC | RV ITIC Nottinghan Fax No guiries@survey UNDERGROUN | Brent 0845 04 solutions | Y IS wood 05 970 s.co.uk EYING |
| Ip: Te ww LA PR WI M/ DR UT SH CLL PE | Swich Coventry I No: 0845 0405 96 W.Survey-solutions ND SURVEYING I OJECT TITLE EST CAMBRID ADINGLEY ROJ AWING DETAIL TILITIES AND D HEET 8 OF 29 ENT ETER BRETT A | SSOCIA | SURVEYING L SURVEYING L SURVEYING L SURVESTIC | RV In Nottingham Fax No. quiries@survey UNDERGROUM | Brent 0845 04 solutions D SURV | F O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C |
| Ipa Te ww LAA PR W/ M/ DR UT SH CLL PE SUU DW | Swich Coventry I No: 0845 0405 96 w.survey-solutions ND SURVEYING I OJECT TITLE EST CAMBRID ADINGLEY ROJ AWING DETAIL TILITIES AND D HEET 8 OF 29 ENT ETER BRETT A RVEYOR SURV (R/PI 03/11) | SSOCIA SSOCIA (2014) | SURVEYING L SURVEYING L SURVEYING L SURVESTIC SE INVESTIC | APPROVED B | Brent 0845 04 solutions D SURV | E o status |



UTILITIES & UNDERGROUND INVESTIGATIONS ABBREVIATIONS & SYMBOLS 1D 5C 1 Duct 5 Cables CPC Circ Plastic Chamber EOT End Of Trace Ø Diameter CrL Crown Level IBD Internal Backdrop AR Assumed Route DCr Depth To Crown IL Invert Level BL Base Level DI Depth To Invert RBC Rectangular Brick Chamber CBC Contracted benchning DS Depth To Surcharge RCC Rectangular C. CBC Circular Brick Chamber DTB Depth To Base SL Silt Level CCC Circular Conc Chamber DTW Depth To Water SUL Surcharge Level CL Cover Level DTS Depth To Silt UTC Unable To CCTV BT BT CABLE(S) UTL Unable To Trace COM COMMUNICATIONS CABLE(S) TFR Taken From Records CTV CABLE TV CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop O/FE OVERNHEAD BLECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop O/FE OVERNHEAD ELECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop O/FE OVERNHEAD ELECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop O/FE OVERNHEAD ELECTRIC CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop O/FE GROUND PENETRATING RADAR (GPR) TRACE HW HOT WATER PIPE I.C LIGHTING CIRCUIT SECURITY CABLING UTILITIES COMMENT BOX VIT UNIDENTIFIED TRACE WATER SERVICE DEPTH TO SERVICE VIT UNID CB Concrete Benching DS Depth To Surcharge RCC Rectangular Conc Chamber

DRAWING NOTES

All below ground details shown have been identified from above ground without excavation. Survey Solution use electro-magnetic and/or ground penetrating radar (GPR) methods to investigate for underground utilities, services and features. Results using these methods are not infallible and we recommend trial excavations are carried out to confirm any identifications, positions and depths. Any areas on the drawing where services or features have not been shown are not necessarily clear of services or features but are an indication that no items have been identified during our investigations. All reasonable care and normal good practice should still be employed during design and construction processes. Certain types of services such as plastic or concrete pipes, some conduit and ducting where direct access can not be achieved for tracing may not be shown and alternative locating methods should be used.

Survey Solutions has used all reasonable care to research available service records but the completeness or use of the service records supplied to or by Survey Solutions cannot be guaranteed. Therefore Survey Solutions cannot be held responsible for any features annotated as 'taken from records' (TFR). Depths obtained using electro-magnetic or GPR are effected by ground

conditions and should be treated as indicative only. Electro-magnetic depths to utilities and services are generally taken to the centre of a feature, GPR depths to the top of a feature and drainage depth shown to inverts, unless otherwise indicated. Drainage pipe sizes will be obtained without entering the camber and therefore should be treated as approximate. Pipe dimensions which have not been

obtained visually will be taken from records when available.

All services, drainage and utilities routes are assumed straight between access points, unless otherwise stated. The numbers of cables in runs will not be shown unless specifically requested. All services are below ground unless indicated. Services, utilities and features may not have been surveyed if obstructed or not

reasonably visible or accessible at the time of survey. Survey Solutions accept no responsibility for the completeness or accuracy of either the topographical survey or base mapping on this project. All critical dimensions and measurements should be checked and verified with any errors or discrepancies notified to Survey Solutions immediately. The accuracy of the digital data is the same as the plotting scale implies. All

dimensions are in metres unless otherwise stated. The contractor must check and verify all site and building dimensions, levels, utilities and drainage details and connections prior to commencing work. © Land Survey Solutions Limited hold the copyright to all the information contained within this document and their written consent must be obtained before copying or using the data other than for the purpose it was originally supplied. Do not scale from this drawing.

AVAILABILITY OF UTILITY RECORD DRAWINGS UTILITY AVAILABILITY UTILITY AVAILABILITY UTILITY AVAILABILITY
 SEWER
 SITE
 BT
 PUBLIC
 OIL PIPES
 SITE

 WATER MAIN
 SITE
 CABLE TV
 SITE
 OTHERS
 NO

| REV DESCRIPTIO | N | | DRAWN | APPR | DATE |
|---|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | יד דו | | 1 . | T 7 |
| | |)UI | KV. | Ľ | Y |
| | | NTT | TTI | JV. | |
| | S | ULU | | JN | 15 |
| Ipswich Co | ventry Yeovil | Norwich Pert | h Nottinghan | DIN Brent | wood |
| Ipswich Co Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s | oventry Yeovil 0405 969 solutions.co.uk | Norwich Perti | h Nottinghan Fax No: quiries@survey | DIN Brent 0845 04 -solutions | wood 05 970 s.co.uk |
| Ipswich Co Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s LAND SURVI | oventry Yeovil 0405 969 solutions.co.uk EYING BUILDING | Norwich Perti | h Nottinghan Fax No: quiries@survey UNDERGROUN | DIN Brent 0845 04 solutions | wood 405 970 s.co.uk EYING |
| Ipswich Co Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s LAND SURVI | Ventry Yeovil 0405 969 solutions.co.uk EYING BUILDING MBRIDGE DEI EY ROAD, CB | Norwich Pertl end S SURVEYING (NSIFICATION 3 OEL | h Nottinghan Fax No: quiries@survey UNDERGROUN | D Brent 0845 04 solutions D SURV | wood 405 970 s.co.uk EYING |
| Ipswich Cc Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s LAND SURVE PROJECT TITL WEST CAN MADINGLE DRAWING DET UTILITIES SHEET 9 C | AND DRAINA DF 29 | Norwich Pertl end 3 SURVEYING U NSIFICATION 3 OEL GE INVESTIO | h Nottinghan Fax No: quiries@survey UNDERGROUN | D Brent 0845 04 solutions | wood 405 970 s.co.uk EYING |
| Ipswich Cc Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s LAND SURVE PROJECT TITL WEST CAN MADINGLE DRAWING DET UTILITIES SHEET 9 C CLIENT PETER BR | Aventry Yeovil 0405 969 solutions.co.uk EYING BUILDING EMBRIDGE DEI Y ROAD, CB TAIL AND DRAINA DF 29 ETT ASSOCIA | Norwich Pertl end 3 SURVEYING (SURVEYING (NSIFICATION 3 OEL GE INVESTIC ATES | h Nottinghan Fax No: quiries@survey UNDERGROUN | SCAL 1:20 | E D |
| Ipswich Cc Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s LAND SURVE PROJECT TITL WEST CAN MADINGLE DRAWING DET UTILITIES SHEET 9 C CLIENT PETER BR SURVEYOR DWR/PI | E Ventry Yeovil 0405 969 solutions.co.uk EYING BUILDING E MBRIDGE DEI Y ROAD, CB: FAIL AND DRAINA DF 29 ETT ASSOCI. SURVEY DATE 03/11/2014 | Norwich Pertl end 3 SURVEYING (3 SURVEYING | APPROVED B ⁱ RAG | SCAL 1:20 Y DWG FINA | E 00 status |

UTILITIES & UNDERGROUND INVESTIGATIONS ABBREVIATIONS & SYMBOLS

| 1D 5C | 1 Duct 5 Cables | | CPC | Circ Plastic Chamber | EOT | End Of Trace | |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------|--------------------------|--|
| Ø | Diameter | | CrL | Crown Level | IBD | Internal Backdrop | |
| AR | Assumed Route | | DCr | Depth To Crown | IL | Invert Level | |
| BL | Base Level | | DI | Depth To Invert | RBC | Rectangular Brick Chambe | |
| СВ | Concrete Benchin | g | DS | Depth To Surcharge | RCC | Rectangular Conc Chambe | |
| CBC | Circular Brick Cha | mber | DTB | Depth To Base | SL | Silt Level | |
| CCC | Circular Conc Cha | mber | DTW | Depth To Water | SuL | Surcharge Level | |
| CL | Cover Level | | DTS | Depth To Silt | UTC | Unable To CCTV | |
| | — вт — | BT CABL | CABLE(S) | | | Unable To Lift | |
| | — 0/BT ——— | OVERHE | EAD BT (| CABLE(S) | UTT | Unable To Trace | |
| | — COM — | COMMU | | | | Taken From Records | |
| | | | | E(S) | EBD | External Backdrop | |
| | — 0/E ——— | OVERHE | EAD ELE | CTRIC CABLE(S) | | | |
| | — FO — — | FIBREO | PTIC CA | BLE(S) | | | |
| | | GAS MA | IN | | | | |
| | — GS ——— | GAS SEI | RVICE ID PENETRATING RADAR (GPR) TRACE | | | | |
| | - GPR | GROUNI | | | | | |
| | — HW ——— | HOTWA | | 12 11 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | — UIT — | UNIDEN | | TRACE MH CL | . 48.93 | UTILITIES COMMENT BOX | |
| | — WM — | WATER | MAIN | | | | |
| | — ws ——— | WATER | SERVIC | e . W | M 0.61 | DEPTH TO SERVICE | |
| | | COMBIN | ED SEW | /ER | | | |
| | · 🔶 · 🦲 | FOUL SE | EWER | | | | |
| | | RISING I | MAIN | | | | |
| | — — | SURFAC | E WATE | R SEWER | | | |
| | | UTILITIE | S INVES | STIGATION EXTENTS | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

DRAWING NOTES All below ground details shown have been identified from above ground without

excavation. Survey Solution use electro-magnetic and/or ground penetrating radar (GPR) methods to investigate for underground utilities, services and features. Results using these methods are not infallible and we recommend trial excavations are carried out to confirm any identifications, positions and depths.
Any areas on the drawing where services or features have not been shown are not necessarily clear of services or features but are an indication that no items have been identified during our investigations. All reasonable care and normal good practice should still be employed during design and construction processes.
Certain types of services such as plastic or concrete pipes, some conduit and ducting where direct access can not be achieved for tracing may not be shown and alternative locating methods should be used.

Survey Solutions has used all reasonable care to research available service records but the completeness or use of the service records supplied to or by Survey Solutions cannot be guaranteed. Therefore Survey Solutions cannot be held responsible for any features annotated as 'taken from records' (TFR). Depths obtained using electro-magnetic or GPR are effected by ground conditions and should be treated as indicative only. Electro-magnetic depths to

utilities and services are generally taken to the centre of a feature, GPR depths to utilities and services are generally taken to the centre of a feature, GPR depths to the top of a feature and drainage depth shown to inverts, unless otherwise indicated. Drainage pipe sizes will be obtained without entering the camber and therefore should be treated as approximate. Pipe dimensions which have not been

obtained visually will be taken from records when available.

All services, drainage and utilities routes are assumed straight between access points, unless otherwise stated. The numbers of cables in runs will not be shown unless specifically requested. All services are below ground unless indicated. Services, utilities and features may not have been surveyed if obstructed or not

reasonably visible or accessible at the time of survey.
Survey Solutions accept no responsibility for the completeness or accuracy of either the topographical survey or base mapping on this project.
All critical dimensions and measurements should be checked and verified with any errors or discrepancies notified to Survey Solutions immediately. The accuracy of the digital data is the same as the plotting scale implies. All

dimensions are in metres unless otherwise stated.
The contractor must check and verify all site and building dimensions, levels, utilities and drainage details and connections prior to commencing work.
© Land Survey Solutions Limited hold the copyright to all the information contained within this document and their written consent must be obtained before copying or using the data other than for the purpose it was originally supplied.
Do not scale from this drawing.

 AVAILABILITY OF UTILITY RECORD DRAWINGS

 UTILITY
 AVAILABILITY
 UTILITY
 AVAILABILITY
 UTILITY
 AVAILABILITY

 SEWER
 SITE
 BT
 PUBLIC
 OIL PIPES
 SITE

 WATER MAIN
 SITE
 CABLE TV
 SITE
 OTHERS
 NO

S MAIN PUBLIC ELECTRICITY SITE

 REV
 DESCRIPTION
 DRAWN
 APPR
 DATE

 REV
 DESCRIPTION
 DRAWN
 APPR
 DATE

 Image: Comparison of the state of

 SURVEYOR
 SURVEY DATE
 CHECKED BY
 APPROVED BY
 DWG STATUS

 DWR/PI
 03/11/2014
 JTM
 RAG
 FINAL

 DRAWING NUMBER
 REVISION
 ISSUE DATE

 15415UG-10
 APR 2015

20.69

+20.86 _21.09

21.30 +

21.10 +

21.23 +

_21.22 + 21.22

21.26 +

21.19

| 1D 5C | 1 Duct 5 Cables | | CPC | Circ Plastic Chamber | EOT | End Of Trace | |
|-------|--------------------|----------|---|----------------------|-------|---------------------------|--|
| Ø | Diameter | | CrL | Crown Level | IBD | Internal Backdrop | |
| AR | Assumed Route | | DCr | Depth To Crown | IL | Invert Level | |
| BL | Base Level | | DI | Depth To Invert | RBC | Rectangular Brick Chamber | |
| СВ | Concrete Benchin | ng | DS | Depth To Surcharge | RCC | Rectangular Conc Chamber | |
| CBC | Circular Brick Cha | amber | DTB | Depth To Base | SL | Silt Level | |
| CCC | Circular Conc Cha | amber | DTW | Depth To Water | SuL | Surcharge Level | |
| CL | Cover Level | | DTS | Depth To Silt | UTC | Unable To CCTV | |
| | — вт — | BT CAB | LE(S) | | UTL | Unable To Lift | |
| | — 0/BT ——— | OVERH | EAD BT (| CABLE(S) | UTT | Unable To Trace | |
| | — COM — | COMMU | NICATIONS CABLE(S) | | | Taken From Records | |
| | — E —— | ELECTR | | E(S) | EBD | External Backdrop | |
| | — 0/E ——— | OVERH | EAD ELE | CTRIC CABLE(S) | | | |
| | — FO — — | FIBREO | PTIC CA | BLE(S) | | | |
| | | GAS MA | AIN ERVICE In demetrating dadar (CDR) trace | | | | |
| | CPP | GAS SE | | | | | |
| | — нw — | HOT WA | | | TRACE | | |
| | LC | LIGHTIN | IG CIRCI | JIT | | | |
| | | SECURI | TY CABL | ING | 40.02 | ITH ITIES COMMENT BOY | |
| | UIT | UNIDEN | TIFIED T | RACE | 40.93 | SHEITIES COMMENT BOX | |
| | — WM —— | WATER | MAIN | -10/1 | 1061 | DEPTH TO SERVICE | |
| | — WS — — | WATER | SERVIC | E 🖌 🛄 | 10.01 | | |
| | | COMBIN | IED SEW | /ER | | | |
| | | FOUL SI | EWER | | | | |
| | | RISING | MAIN | | | | |
| | | SURFAC | | | | | |
| | | UTILITIE | S INVES | DIGATION EXTENTS | | | |

| AVAILABILITY OF UTILITY RECORD DRAWINGS | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| UTILITY | AVAILABILITY | UTILITY | AVAILABILITY | UTILITY | AVAILABILIT | | | |
| SEWER | SITE | вт | PUBLIC | OIL PIPES | SITE | | | |
| WATER MAIN | SITE | CABLE TV | SITE | OTHERS | NO | | | |

| | | ~ • • • • • • • | | | | | |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------------|--|
| 1D 5C | 1 Duct 5 Cables | | CPC | Circ Plastic Chambe | r EOT | End Of Trace | |
| Ø | Diameter | | CrL | Crown Level | IBD | Internal Backdrop | |
| AR | Assumed Route | | DCr | Depth To Crown | IL | Invert Level | |
| BL | Base Level | | DI | Depth To Invert | RBC | Rectangular Brick Chambe | |
| СВ | Concrete Benchin | g | DS | Depth To Surcharge | RCC | Rectangular Conc Chambe | |
| CBC | Circular Brick Cha | amber | DTB | Depth To Base | SL | Silt Level | |
| CCC | Circular Conc Cha | amber | DTW | Depth To Water | SuL | Surcharge Level | |
| CL | Cover Level | | DTS | Depth To Silt | UTC | Unable To CCTV | |
| | — вт — | BT CAB | LE(S) | | UTL | Unable To Lift | |
| | — 0/BT ——— | OVERH | EAD BT (| AD BT CABLE(S) | | Unable To Trace | |
| | — сом — | COMMU | JNICATIONS CABLE(S) | | TFR | Taken From Records | |
| | | | I V CABL | E(S) | FBD | External Backdrop | |
| | — 0/E — | OVERH | EAD ELE | D ELECTRIC CABLE(S) | | External Educator | |
| | — FO — — | FIBREO | PTIC CA | BLE(S) | | | |
| | | GAS MA | IN | () | | | |
| | | GAS SE | RVICE | | | | |
| | GPR | GROUN | D PENETRATING RADAR (GPR) TRACE | | | | |
| | — HW — | HOT WA | TER PIF | PE | | | |
| | — LC ——— | LIGHTIN | IG CIRC | UIT | | | |
| | | SECURI | TY CABL | _ING | CL 48.93 | UTILITIES COMMENT BOX | |
| | — UIT ——— | UNIDEN | TIFIED | IRACE | | | |
| | — WM — | WATER | MAIN | - 1 | WM 0.61 | DEPTH TO SERVICE | |
| | — WS — | WATER | SERVIC | E | | | |
| | | COMBIN | IED SEV | VER 🗡 | | | |
| | · 🔶 · — | FOUL SI | EWER | | | | |
| | | RISING | MAIN | | | | |
| | _ > | SURFAC | CE WATE | ER SEWER | | | |
| | | UTILITIE | S INVES | STIGATION EXTENTS | S | | |
| | | | | | | | |

UTILITIES & UNDERGROUND INVESTIGATIONS ABBREVIATIONS & SYMBOLS 1D 5C 1 Duct 5 Cables CPC Circ Plastic Chamber EOT End Of Trace Ø Diameter CrL Crown Level IBD Internal Backdrop AR Assumed Route DCr Depth To Crown IL Invert Level BL Base Level DI Depth To Invert RBC Rectangular Brick Chamber DS Depth To Surcharge RCC Rectangular Conc Chamber CB Concrete Benching CBC Circular Brick Chamber DTB Depth To Base SL Silt Level CCC Circular Conc Chamber DTW Depth To Water CL Cover Level DTS Depth To Silt SuL Surcharge Level DTS Depth To Silt UTC Unable To CCTV BT CABLE(S) UTL Unable To Lift OVERHEAD BT CABLE(S) UTT Unable To Trace COMMUNICATIONS CABLE(S) TFR Taken From Records CABLE TV CABLE(S) EBD External Backdrop OVERHEAD ELECTRIC CABLE(S) FIBREOPTIC CABLE(S) GAS MAIN GAS SERVICE GROUND PENETRATING RADAR (GPR) TRACE HOT WATER PIPE LIGHTING CIRCUIT SECURITY CABLING UNIDENTIFIED TRACE WATER MAIN WATER MAIN WATER SERVICE COMBINED SEWER FOUL SEWER RISING MAIN SURFACE WATER SEWER UTILITIES INVESTIGATION EXTENTS UTC Unable To CCTV — BT — _**-**_ -

DRAWING NOTES

All below ground details shown have been identified from above ground without excavation. Survey Solution use electro-magnetic and/or ground penetrating radar (GPR) methods to investigate for underground utilities, services and features. Results using these methods are not infallible and we recommend trial excavations are carried out to confirm any identifications, positions and depths. Any areas on the drawing where services or features have not been shown are not necessarily clear of services or features but are an indication that no items have been identified during our investigations. All reasonable care and normal good practice should still be employed during design and construction processes. Certain types of services such as plastic or concrete pipes, some conduit and ducting where direct access can not be achieved for tracing may not be shown and alternative locating methods should be used.

Survey Solutions has used all reasonable care to research available service records but the completeness or use of the service records supplied to or by Survey Solutions cannot be guaranteed. Therefore Survey Solutions cannot be held responsible for any features annotated as 'taken from records' (TFR). Depths obtained using electro-magnetic or GPR are effected by ground

conditions and should be treated as indicative only. Electro-magnetic depths to utilities and services are generally taken to the centre of a feature, GPR depths to the top of a feature and drainage depth shown to inverts, unless otherwise indicated. Drainage pipe sizes will be obtained without entering the camber and therefore should be treated as approximate. Pipe dimensions which have not been

All services, drainage and utilities routes are assumed straight between access points, unless otherwise stated. The numbers of cables in runs will not be shown unless specifically requested. All services are below ground unless indicated. Services, utilities and features may not have been surveyed if obstructed or not

reasonably visible or accessible at the time of survey. Survey Solutions accept no responsibility for the completeness or accuracy of either the topographical survey or base mapping on this project. All critical dimensions and measurements should be checked and verified with any errors or discrepancies notified to Survey Solutions immediately. The accuracy of the digital data is the same as the plotting scale implies. All

dimensions are in metres unless otherwise stated. The contractor must check and verify all site and building dimensions, levels, utilities and drainage details and connections prior to commencing work. © Land Survey Solutions Limited hold the copyright to all the information contained within this document and their written consent must be obtained before copying or using the data other than for the purpose it was originally supplied. Do not scale from this drawing.

AVAILABILITY OF UTILITY RECORD DRAWINGS UTILITY AVAILABILITY UTILITY AVAILABILITY UTILITY AVAILABILITY

| SEWER WATER MAIN GAS MAIN | SITE SITE PUBLIC | BT CABLE TV ELECTRICITY | PUBLIC SITE SITE | OIL PIPES OTHERS | SITE NO |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | | | | | |

| REV. DESCRIPTION | | | DRAWN | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | SU] | RV | EY |
| Ipswich Co Tel No: 0845 www.survey-s LAND SURVI | oventry Yeovil 0405 969 solutions.co.uk | Norwich Perti end S SURVEYING (| Nottingham Fax No: quiries@survey-s | Brentwood 0845 0405 970 solutions.co.uk |
| PROJECT TITL WEST CAN MADINGLE | .e /BRIDGE DEI EY ROAD, CB | NSIFICATION 3 0EL | I SCHEME | |
| DRAWING DE UTILITIES SHEET 13 | AIL AND DRAINA OF 29 | GE INVESTIC | GATION | |
| CLIENT PETER BR | ETT ASSOCI | ATES | | SCALE 1:200 |
| SURVEYOR DWR/PI | SURVEY DATE 03/11/2014 | CHECKED BY | APPROVED BY RAG | DWG STATUS FINAL |
| | 1BER 3 | | REVISION | ISSUE DATE |

Appendix C Existing Catchment Plan and On site Drainage Arrangements

| | *TOTAL OF CATCHMENT AREA (ha) | APPROXIMATE MEASUREMENT OF TOTAL IMPERMEABLE AREA OF CATCHMENT AREA (ha) | **TOTAL STORAGE REQUIRED (IN 100yr+30%cc EVENT) | * E |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|-------------|
| CATCHMENT AREA DRAINING DIRECTLY TO SOUTH-WEST LAKE | 30.65 ha | 10.00 ha | 5,177m3 | ε |
| CATCHMENT AREA UNDEVELOPED | 8.50ha | Oha | N/A | ١ |
| CATCHMENT AREA DRAINING TO PUBLIC SEWER ON MADINGLEY ROAD | 13.00ha | 11.00ha | N/A | ١ |
| CATCHMENT AREA DRAINING TO COTON BROOK POND (VIA GEO-CELLULAR STORAGE STRUCTURES) | 16.00ha | 13.60ha | 7041m3 | ย (ย |

| | | | NOTES | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| *TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED BY | 1. | ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRE | ES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. | | | | |
| E007 | 2. | ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRES RELATIVE TO ORDNANCE DATUM NEWLYN UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. | | | | | |
| Sound | 3. | ALL COORDINATES ARE IN METRES I | RELATIVE TO ORDNANCE SURVEY NATIONAL GRID. | | | | |
| /A | 4. | 4. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCING WORK OF PREPARING SHOP DRAWINGS. | | | | | |
| /Α | 5. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. | | | | | | |
| KISTING COTTON BROOK POND VOLUME =450m3 HE TOTAL VOLUME OF STORAGE FROM THE | | 6. THIS STORAGE VOLUMES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE APPROXIMATE AND SUBJECT TO DETAILED DESIGN | | | | | |
| NKNOWN) | 7. | 7. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ON PROPOSED STORAGE PROVISIONS PLEASE REFER TO PBA DRAWING 31500-2006-116 | | | | | |
| | 8. | ON PLOT STORAGE ESTIMATES SHO STORM EVENT. THIS REPRESENTS A | WN ON THIS PLAN HAVE BEEN SIZED FROM THE 1:100yr+30%cc WORSE CASE SCENARIO | | | | |
| | Ī | LEGEND | | | | | |
| THE FIGURES STIPULATED WITHIN THE STAGE | | | EXISTING STORAGE TANK | | | | |
| | | \rightarrow | EXISTING DRAINAGE OUTFALL | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Appendix DAnglian Water Sewer plans

This plan is provided by Anglian Water pursuant its obligations under the Water Industry Act 1991 sections 198 or 199. It must be used in conjunction with any search results attached. The information on this plan is based on data currently recorded but position must be regarded as approximate. Service pipes, private sewers and drains are generally not shown. Users of this map are strongly advised to commission their own survey of the area shown on the plan before carrying out any works. The actual position of all apparatus MUST be established by trial holes. No liability whatsoever, including liability for negligence, is accepted by Anglian Water for any error or inaccuracy or omission, including the failure to accurately record, or record at all, the location of any water main, discharge pipe, sewer or disposal main or any item of apparatus. This information is valid for the date printed. The plan is produced by Anglian Water Services Limited from Ordnance Survey © Crown Copyright, 100018507. This map is to be used for the purposes of viewing the location of Anglian Water plant only. Any other uses of the map data or further copies is not permitted. This notice is not intended to exclude or restrict liability for death or personal injury resulting (Colour denotes efficient to Convert from negligence.

Combined Sewer Rising Main (Colour denotes effluent type) Private Sewer (Colour denotes effluent type) Decomplicational Conversion of Conversio

____ Inlet (Colour denotes effluent type)

(Colour denotes effluent type)

Decommissioned Sewer (Colour denotes effluent type)

Pumping Station

| Manhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Level | Invert Level | Depth to Invert |
|-------------------|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 0201 | 543070 | 259215 | F | 18 19 | 13.08 | 5 11 |
| 1301 | 542133 | 259374 | F | 21.134 | 16.34 | 4.794 |
| 2301 | 542297 | 259330 | F | 18.562 | 15.767 | 2.795 |
| 2302 | 542212 | 259351 | F | 19.27 | 16.099 | 3.171 |
| 3201 | 542382 | 259296 | F | 19.263 | 15.517 | 3.746 |
| 3301 | 542312 | 259312 | F | 18.402 | 15.833 | 2.569 |
| 4201 5201 | 542487 | 259295 | | 19.212 | 15.237 | 3.975 |
| 6201 | 542674 | 259298 | F | 19.575 | 14.582 | 4.993 |
| 7201 | 542778 | 259286 | F | 19.044 | 14.28 | 4.764 |
| 7301 | 542709 | 259367 | F | 17.326 | 14.961 | 2.365 |
| 7401 | 542751 | 259442 | F | 16.58 | 15.34 | 1.24 |
| 8201 | 542863 | 259297 | F | 17.593 | 14.902 | 2.691 |
| 8202 | 542870 | 259273 | F | 18.388 | 13.957 | 4.431 |
| 8401 | 542858 | 259438 | F | 16.95 | 15.401 | 1.549 |
| 8501 | 542895 | 259505 | | 17.99 | 16.24 | 1.75 |
| 9201 | 542980 | 259215 | F | - 17.8 | - 13.43 | 4.37 |
| 9301 | 542906 | 259386 | F | 17.227 | 15.036 | 2.191 |
| 9302 | 542910 | 259396 | F | 17.56 | 15.12 | 2.44 |
| 9401 | 542938 | 259429 | F | 17.78 | 16.15 | 1.63 |
| 9402 | 542926 | 259407 | F | 17.65 | 15.63 | 2.02 |
| 0151 | 543038 | 259182 | S | 16.44 | 14.6 | 1.84 |
| 0251 | 543037 | 259238 | S | 17.82 | 15.54 | 2.28 |
| 0252 | 543033 | 259227 | ୍ର ୧ | 18.05 | 15.44 | 2.61 |
| 0253 | 543063 | 259213 | S | 18 26 | - 15 79 | - 2 47 |
| 1351 | 542171 | 259348 | S | 20.087 | 18.434 | 1.653 |
| 1352 | 542120 | 259362 | S | 21.269 | 19.623 | 1.646 |
| 2351 | 542208 | 259338 | S | 19.422 | 17.721 | 1.701 |
| 2352 | 542294 | 259316 | S | 18.939 | 16.629 | 2.31 |
| 3251 | 542381 | 259293 | S | 19.23 | 17.233 | 1.997 |
| 4251 | 542489 | 259293 | S | 19.172 | 17.663 | 1.509 |
| 6251 6251 | 542584 542641 | 259296 | 3 S | 19.471 | 10.123 - | 1.348 - |
| 6351 | 542698 | 259346 | S | 17.67 | 16.406 | 1.264 |
| 7251 | 542771 | 259282 | S | 18.976 | 17.383 | 1.593 |
| 7451 | 542735 | 259410 | S | 16.83 | 15.75 | 1.08 |
| 7452 | 542770 | 259470 | S | 16.56 | 15.41 | 1.15 |
| 7453 | 542763 | 259459 | S | 16.7 | 15.47 | 1.23 |
| 7454 | 542775 | 259449 | S | 16.7 | 15.625 | 1.075 |
| 7455 | 542744 | 259488 | S | 16.3 | 15.325 | 0.975 |
| 7400 8251 | 542830 | 259408 | S S | 17.30 | 15.4 | 1.95 |
| 8252 | 542871 | 259271 | S | 18.31 | 16.555 | 1.755 |
| 8253 | 542871 | 259279 | S | 18.766 | 16.836 | 1.93 |
| 8254 | 542898 | 259273 | S | 17.925 | 16.197 | 1.728 |
| 8255 | 542872 | 259277 | S | 18.75 | 16.66 | 2.09 |
| 8256 | 542872 | 259283 | S | 18.25 | 16.58 | 1.67 |
| 8351 | 542894 | 259330 | S | 17.95 | 16.65 | 1.3 |
| 9251 | 542926 | 259240 | S | 18.006 | 16.261 | 1.745 |
| 9252 | 542981 | 259212 | ວ ຊ | 17.01 | 15.813 | 1.997 |
| 9254 | 542960 | 259236 | S | 17.397 | 15.797 | 1.6 |
| 9351 | 542925 | 259396 | S | 17.98 | 16.92 | 1.06 |
| 9352 | 542916 | 259378 | S | 18.02 | 16.84 | 1.18 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Manhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Level | Invert Level | Depth to Invert |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Manhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Level | Invert Level | Depth to Invert |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Manhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Level | Invert Level | Depth to Invert |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Manhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Leve | el Invert Level | Depth to Invert |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 2001 | 544427 544026 | 259080 | F | 11.43 | 9.32 | 2.26 |
| 0002 0201 | 544071 543070 | 259066 259215 | F F | 11.33 18.19 | 9.02 13.08 | 2.31 5.11 |
| 0601 | 544007 | 258634 | F | 9.3 | 8.25 | 1.05 |
| 0602 0801 | 544011 544019 | 258687 258825 | F | 9.06 11.98 | 6.57 6.93 | 2.49 5.05 |
| 0802 | 544013 | 258848 | F | 12.24 | 10.52 | 1.72 |
| 0901 1001 | 544017 544110 | 258950 259060 | F | 11.84 | 9.84 8.92 | 2 |
| 1002 | 544156 | 259053 | F | 11.08 | 8.81 | 2.27 |
| 1003 1004 | 544199 544105 | 259044 259057 | F F | 10.86 10.595 | 8.43 -1.048 | 2.43 |
| 1201 | 543152 | 259210 | F | 18.43 | 13.01 | 5.42 |
| 1601 1701 | 544116 543154 | 258662 258709 | F F | - | - | - |
| 2001 | 544297 | 259023 | F | 10.12 | 8.27 | 1.85 |
| 2002 2003 | 544291 544252 | 259014 259037 | F | 9.87 10.595 | 9 | 0.87 |
| 2101 | 543243 | 259188 | F | 18.38 | 12.66 | 5.72 |
| 2101 2102 | 544209 543295 | 259179 259172 | F | 14.18 18.08 | 12.31 12.48 | 1.87 5.6 |
| 2102 | 544299 | 259192 | F | 15.73 | 13 | 2.73 |
| 2202 | 544273 | 259262 | F | 17.93 | 13.02 | 4.91 |
| 2601 | 544228 | 258660 | F | - | - | - |
| 3001 | 543373 | 259055 | F | 14.18 | 12.91 | 1.27 |
| 3002 | 543376 | 259024 | F | 13.97 | 12.99 | 0.98 |
| 3003 | 543381 544355 | 259065 259136 | F | 14.34 | 12.88 | 1.46 |
| 3101 | 543338 | 259159 | F | 17.65 | 12.35 | 5.3 |
| 3102 | 543399 | 259145 | F | 16.49 | 12.6 | 3.89 |
| 3201 | 544365 | 259129 | F | 20.86 | 12.72 | 1.99 |
| 3203 | 544389 | 259229 | F | 19.07 | 17.59 | 1.48 |
| 3204 3205 | 544372 544367 | 259293 259286 | F F | - | - | - |
| 3304 | 544394 | 259338 | F | 21.13 | 16.27 | 4.86 |
| 3400 3501 | 544363 544350 | 258469 258585 | F | - 7.85 | - | - 2.99 |
| 3601 | 543339 | 258684 | F | - | - | - |
| 3601 | 544320 | 258665 | F | - & วว | - | - |
| 3603 | 544327 | 258659 | F | 0.23 - | - | 2. 4 3 - |
| 3901 | 544353 | 258920 | F | 9.46 | 6.6 | 2.86 |
| 3902 3903 | 544397 544371 | 258969 258970 | F | 9.05 8.981 | o.53 -1.28 | 2.52 10.261 |
| 4000 | 543501 | 259065 | F | 13.426 | 11.521 | 1.905 |
| 4001 4101 | 544420 544415 | 259079 259100 | F | 12.42 13.17 | 9.06 10.1 | 3.36 3.07 |
| 4101 | 543418 | 259152 | F | 16.12 | 12.1 | 4.02 |
| 4107 4209 | 544403 544421 | 259184 | F | 16.66 - | 14.71 - | 1.95 - |
| 4210 | 544436 | 259275 | F | - | - | - |
| 4302 4601 | 544418 543421 | 259302 258675 | F | 20.93 | 13.46 | 7.47 |
| 4901 | 543481 | 258988 | F | 13.512 | 10.961 | 2.551 |
| 5101 | 543528 | 259153 | F | 14.7 | 11.79 | 2.91 |
| 5502 | 543524 | 258587 | F | 13.908 | 11.814 | 2.094 |
| 5503 | 543572 | 258575 | F | 13.454 | - | - |
| 5602 | 543534 | 258664 | F | 12.846 | 9.68 | 2.655 |
| 5603 | 543522 | 258662 | F | - | - | - |
| 5604 5701 | 543533 543554 | 258656 258736 | F | 10.963 11.18 | 1.663 9.39 | 9.3 |
| 5702 | 543561 | 258762 | F | 11.449 | 1.308 | 10.141 |
| 5801 5802 | 543573 543585 | 258835 258876 | F | 11.23 12.076 | 9.02 0.915 | 2.21 |
| 5901 | 543518 | 258964 | F | 12.6 | 10.708 | 1.892 |
| 5902 6001 | 543597 543633 | 258930 259042 | F | 11.732 12.323 | 8.797 | 2.935 |
| 6101 | 543657 | 259113 | F | 13.71 | 11.2 | 2.51 |
| 6102 6501 | 543651 543622 | 259106 258565 | F | 12.721 | -0.243 | 12.964 |
| 6502 | 543672 | 258555 | F | 12.743 | 10.247 | 2.496 |
| 6901 | 543602 | 258950 | F | 11.884 | 10.095 | 1.789 |
| 6902 6903 | 543618 | 258988 | F | 11.043 | 8.251 | 2.792 |
| 7001 | 543744 | 259093 | F | 13.24 | 10.74 | 2.5 |
| 7401 7501 | 543701 543717 | 258441 | F | 10.26 | 8.53 9.991 | 1.73 |
| 7502 | 543720 | 258546 | F | 12.36 | 9.821 | 2.539 |
| 7503 7504 | 543790 543722 | 258534 258523 | F | - | 9.25 | - |
| 7801 | 543705 | 258893 | F | 10.717 | 7.809 | 2.908 |
| 8001 8002 | 543855 543813 | 259097 259095 | F | 12.34 12.63 | 10.18 | 2.16 |
| 8100 | 543802 | 259116 | F | 11.169 | -0.849 | 12.018 |
| 8201 8202 | 543880 543862 | 259284 | F | 14.12 | 11.64 | 2.48 |
| 8501 | 543860 | 258522 | F | 10.927 | 8.793 | 2.134 |
| 8801 | 543811 | 258856 | F | 10.63 | 7.72 | 2.91 |
| 8802 | 543864 | 258836 | F | 11.09 | 7.52 | 3.289 |
| 8805 | 543825 | 258853 | F | 10.69 | 7.71 | 2.98 |
| 8807 | 543815 543886 | 258830 258830 | r F | 10.59 11.24 | 7.5 | 2.00 3.74 |
| 9002 | 543942 | 259099 | F | 11.82 | 9.98 | 1.84 |
| 9201 | 543957 542980 | 259097 259215 | F | 11./ 17.8 | 9.78 13.43 | 1.92 4.37 |
| 9301 | 543995 | 258399 | F | 9.17 | 6.63 | 2.54 |
| 9401 9402 | 543999 543997 | 258495 258440 | F | 9.24 9.07 | 7.46 6.87 | 1.78 2.2 |
| 9501 | 543931 | 258509 | F | 9.997 | 8.221 | 1.776 |
| 9801 9802 | 543940 543938 | 258828 258826 | F | 12.15 - | 7.28 - | 4.87 |
| 0051 | 544029 | 259084 | S | 11.44 | 8.55 | 2.89 |
| 0052 0053 | 544089 544022 | 259067 259079 | S S | 11.29 11.41 | 8.18 9.75 | 3.11 1.66 |
| 0054 | 544098 | 259057 | S | 11.21 | 9.21 | 2 |
| DU55 D151 | 544022 543038 | 259013 259182 | S S | 11.22 16.44 | 9.7 14.6 | 1.52 1.84 |
| 0251 | 543037 | 259238 | S | 17.82 | 15.54 | 2.28 |
| 0252 0253 | 543033 543036 | 259227 259213 | S S | 18.05 18 | 15.44 - | 2.61 - |
| 0254 | 543063 | 259234 | S | 18.26 | 15.79 | 2.47 |
| 0255 | 543074 544011 | 259212 | S | 18.28 9.63 | 15.74 7 42 | 2.54 2.21 |
| 0652 | 544009 | 258639 | S | - | - | |
| 0851 | 544017 544157 | 258828 | S | 11.99 10.97 | 7.93 7.82 | 4.06 |
| 1052 | 544198 | 259039 | S | 10.81 | 8.67 | 2.14 |
| 1151 | 543148 | 259141 | S | 15.39 18.45 | 13.97 | 1.42 |
| 1252 | 543120 | 259207 259211 | S | 10.45 18.4 | 16.08 | 2.32 |
| 1651 | 544134 | 258660 | S | - | - | - |
| 1752 | 543190 543186 | 258739 258717 | S S | 13.41 13.29 | 12.08 | 1.33 1.29 |
| 1753 | 543177 | 258719 | S | 13.46 | 11.79 | 1.67 |
| 2051 2051 | 543217 544245 | 259096 259038 | S S | 14.56 10.58 | 13.52 7.32 | 1.04 3.26 |
| 2052 | 543236 | 259086 | S | 14.76 | 13.52 | 1.24 |
| 2052 | 544252 | 259030 | S | 10.52 | 7.26 | 3.26 |
| 2053 | 544297 | 259006 | S | 10.14 | 6.93 | 3.21 |
| 2054 | 543253 | 259029 | S | 14.62 | 13.33 | 1.29 |
| 2054 2056 | 544297 544298 | 259034 259032 | S S | 10.26 10.15 | 9 8.92 | 1.26 1.23 |
| 2151 | 543262 | 259181 | S | 18.38 | - | - |
| 2152 2153 | 543208 543275 | 259112 259169 | S S | 15.18 17.98 | 13.69 16.24 | 1.49 |
| 2154 | 543267 | 259121 | S | 16.43 | 14.71 | 1.72 |
| 2251 2252 | 544264 544265 | 259246 259224 | S | 17.42 16.55 | 12.91 11 93 | 4.51 4.62 |
| 2352 | 544292 | 259300 | S | 20.06 | 14.24 | 5.82 |
| 2353 | 544294 544225 | 259301 | S | 20.09 | 13.37 | 6.72 |
| | | 259700 | c | 40.00 | | |

| NoteNo |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| no. |
| |
| matrix |
| |
| |
| image image <t< td=""></t<> |
| |
| No. |
| Norm |
| Norm Norm <th< td=""></th<> |
| Kong Kong <t< td=""></t<> |
| WebW |
| Norm Norm <th< td=""></th<> |
| intege |
| |
| subsect < |
| BODY SAM |
| Image Image <t< td=""></t<> |
| Boys Constraint Maree Maree< |
| BEAM AUM AUM BUN BUN </td |
| 14000 20000 3 10000 1000 1000 |
| NUB0 0.809 0 0.45 0.46 0.7 Att 2.663 2.863 2.864 1.4 0.7 Att 0.7 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 Att 0.806 2.811 8 1.4 0.7 1.4 1.4 Att 2.806 2.811 8 1.4 0.7 1.4 1.4 Att 2.806 2.811 8 1.4 0.7 1.4 1.4 Att 2.807 2.807 2.81 1.4 1.4 2.9 1019 2.907 2.911 0 1.2 2.9 2.7 1019 2.922 2.911 0 1.2 2.9 2.7 1019 2.927 2.911 0 1.2 2.9 2.7 1019 2.927 2.911 0 1.2 2.9 2.7 1019 2.927 2.911 0 1.2 2.9 2.7 1019 2.927 2.911 0 1.4 2.9 2.9 1019 2.911 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 1019 2.911 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 |
| Network |
| member obsec s obsec ob |
| MeMory MeMory MeMory Networy MeMory Memory </td |
| debau debau <th< td=""></th<> |
| NOM Second Se |
| NIM SURDU S 13.80 13.80 13.60 13.20 1 |
| |
| S1291 S1212 S 17.81 18.91 997 I |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Image: Problem Imade: Problem Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: Problem Imade: Problem Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: Problem Image: |
| Image: Problem Imade: Problem Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: Problem Imade: Problem Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: state Image: state< |
| Image: Problem Imade: Problem Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: Problem Imade: Problem Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: state |
| Image: Problem Imade: Problem Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: Problem Image: Proble |
| Image: Problem intermediate |
| Image: Problem index inde |
| Image: Problem index inde |
| Image: state in the state in |
| Image: Problem index inde |
| Image: Problem interpretation of the strength |
| Image: Constraint of the second sec |
| Image: state in the state in |
| Image: Problem interval |
| Image: Section of the section of th |
| Image: Section of the section of th |
| Image: Constraint of the second sec |
| Image: Second |
| Image: |
| |
| |

| anhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Level | Invert Level | Depth to Invert |
|------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Manhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Level | Invert Level | Depth to Invert | | Manhole Reference | Easting | Northing | Liquid Type | Cover Level | Invert Level | Depth to Invert |
|-------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Our Ref: 111646 - 1

Appendix E Extracts from CCTV Surface/Foul Water Drainage Investigation

Appendix – Surface water drains with greater than 20% cross-sectional area loss recorded (CCTV survey, 2014)

| Surface Water Manhole (MH) No. | Distance between Manholes (m) | Area loss recorded (%) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| MH 02 – MH 01 | 48.00 | 35% - 70% |
| MH 02 – MH 03 | 17.00 | 25% |
| MH 06 to MH 05 | 99.00 | 30% |
| MH 07 to MH 06 | 26.20 | 25% - 40% |
| MH 09 to MH 08 | 60.00 | 25% - 35% |
| MH 08 to OUTFALL | 24.00 | 30% |
| MH 19 to MH 18 | 46.70 | 30% |
| MH 29 to MH 30 | 105.00 | 30% |
| MH 33 to MH 34 | 89.80 | 25% – 40% |
| MH 34 to MH 35 | 100.00 | 40% |
| MH 35 to MH 36 | 12.00 | 35% |
| MH 36 to MH 37 | 9.60 | 25% |
| MH 45 to MH 46 | 65.10 | 25% |
| MH 67A to MH 66A | 53.00 | 50% |
| MH 74 to MH 75 | 33.70 | 40% |
| Point 'A' to MH 76 | 12.30 | 40% |
| MH 76 to MH 77 | 50.00 | 25% |
| MH 79 to MH 80 | 5.00 | 50% |
| MH 80 to MH 72 | 38.80 | 25% |
| MH 83 to MH 82 | 10.00 | 70% |
| MH 92 to MH 93 | 33.50 | 25% - 50% |
| MH 96 to MH 97 | 36.10 | 50% |
| MH 108 to MH 111 | 55.40 | 30% |
| MH 109 to MH 108 | 87.00 | 25% |

| MH 111 to MH 112 | 37.20 | 55% |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| MH 114 to MH 102 | 4.10 | 40% |
| MH 116 to MH 117 | 24.00 | 50% |
| MH 123 to MH 121 | 60.00 | 25% |
| MH 130 to MH 129 | 28.00 | 30% |
| MH 131 to MH 129 | 53.00 | 30% |
| MH 132 to MH 131 | 74.50 | 25% |
| MH 135 to MH 133 | 11.00 | 70% |
| MH 146 to OUTFALL | 25.00 | 70% |
| LATT A to MH 90 | 4.20 | 40% |
| HEADER to MH 152 | 80.00 | 30% |
| MH 155 to MH 154 | 24.20 | 30% |
| MH 157 to MH 159 | 21.70 | 25% - 50% |
| MH 159 to MH 160 | 45.00 | 30% |
| MH 163 to MH 165 | 68.00 | 70% |
| D/PIPE to MH 166 | 27.10 | 30% |

| WEST CAMBRIDGE DENSIFICATION SCHEME EXISTING SURFACE WATER INFRASTRUCTURE CCTV SURVEY PLAN UNIVERSITY OF 09.09.14 Drawn by 09.09.14 Drawn by 1:2000 CAMBRIDGE It 2000 Checked by 1:2000 Checked | add Periodian addiance Periodian addian Perio | NOTES 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 2. ALL LEVELS ARE IN METRES RELATIVE TO ORDNANCE DATUM NEWL'NI UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 3. ALL COORDINATES ARE IN METRES RELATIVE TO ORDNANCE DATUM NEWL'NI UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 4. HE CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE BEFORE COMMENCING WORK OR PREPARING SHOP DRAWINGS. 5. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. 6. ALL MANHOLE COVERS TO BE LIFTED AND DEPTH TO CHAMBER INVERTS CONFIRMED (1) OIL SEPARATOR (2) MAIN BALANCING LAKE CONTROL STRUCTURE (3) SWALE (4) COTON DITCH (5) PERMANENT LAKE EXISTING SURFACE WATER DRAIN DE SURVEYED EXISTING SURFACE WATER DRAIN TO BE SURVEYED EXISTING UNDERGROUND SURFACE WATER ATTENUATION TAMK |
|---|---|---|

Appendix F

Technical Note on Ground Conditions

West Cambridge Masterplan Stage 1b Review

Ground Conditions and Land Quality

On behalf of University of Cambridge

Project Ref: 31500 | Stage 1b | October 2014

Document Control Sheet

Project Name: West Cambridge Masterplan ReviewProject Ref:31500Report Title:Stage 1b Review Ground Conditions and Land QualityDoc Ref:31500/GEO/r002Date:October 2014

| | Name | Position | Signature | Date | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Prepared by: | Robert Foster | Senior Engineer | | | | |
| Reviewed by: | Mark Brenton | LLP Director | M Serta | 29/10/2014 | | |
| Approved by | Greg Callaghan | LLP Partner | | 29/10/2014 | | |
| For and on behalf of Peter Brett Associates LLP | | | | | | |

| Revision | Date | Description | Prepared | Reviewed | Approved |
|----------|------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Peter Brett Associates LLP disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of this report. This report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the Client and generally in accordance with the appropriate ACE Agreement and taking account of the manpower, resources, investigations and testing devoted to it by agreement with the Client. This report is confidential to the Client and Peter Brett Associates LLP accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

© Peter Brett Associates LLP 2014

Contents

| 1 | Introdu | iction | 1 |
|---|---------|--|----|
| | 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Legislation and Policy | 1 |
| 2 | Overvi | ew of Existing Information | 2 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 2 |
| | 2.2 | Data Sources | 2 |
| | 2.3 | Site History | 9 |
| | 2.4 | Ground and Groundwater Conditions | 9 |
| | 2.5 | Land Quality | 10 |
| | 2.6 | Unexploded Ordnance | 10 |
| | 2.7 | Minerals | 10 |
| | 2.8 | Site Levels, Earthworks and Existing Development | 11 |
| 3 | Geoteo | hnical Considerations | 12 |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 12 |
| | 3.2 | Foundation Conditions | 12 |
| | 3.3 | Shrinkage and Swelling of Clay Soils | 13 |
| | 3.4 | Slope Stability | 13 |
| | 3.5 | Roads | 13 |
| | 3.6 | General Excavations | 13 |
| | 3.7 | Aggressive Ground Conditions | 13 |
| | 3.8 | Infiltration Drainage | 14 |
| | 3.9 | Re-use of Materials | 14 |
| | 3.10 | Existing Buildings and Infrastructure | 14 |
| 4 | Land C | uality Considerations | 15 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 15 |
| | 4.2 | Contamination | 15 |
| | 4.3 | Re-use of Soil | 15 |
| 5 | Potent | al Development Constraints and Opportunities | 16 |
| | 5.1 | Geotechnical Constraints | 16 |
| | 5.2 | Land Quality Constraints | 16 |
| | 5.3 | Geotechnical Opportunities | 16 |
| | 5.4 | Land Quality Opportunities | 17 |
| 6 | Data G | aps | 18 |
| | 6.1 | Site History | 18 |
| | 6.2 | Ground and Groundwater Conditions | 18 |
| | 6.3 | Existing Buildings and Infrastructure | 18 |
| | 6.4 | Land Quality | 18 |
| | 6.5 | UXO | 18 |

| 6.6 | Archaeology | . 18 |
|--------|------------------------------------|---|
| 6.7 | Existing Processes and Activities | . 19 |
| Recomr | nendations | . 20 |
| 7.1 | Recommended Activities | . 20 |
| | 6.6 6.7 Recomm 7.1 | 6.6 Archaeology 6.7 Existing Processes and Activities Recommendations 7.1 Recommended Activities |

Figures

- 1. Plan of Previous Ground Investigations
- 2. Geotechnical Constraints
- 3. Proposed Exploratory Hole Location Plan

Appendices

Appendix A Scope of Additional Work

this page is intertionally black

٧

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 This report presents the findings of the information gathering and data review exercise of ground conditions and land quality for the West Cambridge site.
- 1.1.2 The report provides additional data to and updates the stage 1a review from October 2014 which involved, reviewing and assessing ground and land quality opportunities and constraints, gaps in data, and requirements for further work necessary to support and inform the master planning, EIA and planning application stages.
- 1.1.3 The brief for this element of work included:
 - Collation of available records to provide a summary of ground conditions and land quality aspects.
 - Consider potential for minerals extraction on the site.
 - Provide a gap analysis of ground investigation and land quality data.
 - Provide general strategic level advice relating to substructure, infrastructure and earthworks design.
 - Provide strategic level advice on ground related and land quality constraints and opportunities.
 - Set out requirements for additional data gathering including ground investigations.

1.2 Legislation and Policy

- 1.2.1 The likely ground conditions and environmental setting which might have associated environmental liabilities or which may affect redevelopment are a material consideration in planning applications and the minimum requirements are stipulated by Clauses 120, 121 and 122 and Glossary Page 56 of the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), for land potentially affected by contamination.
- 1.2.2 The basic requirements of a Phase 1 study are set out in the Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land (CLR 11), Annex A of BS 5930 and Section 6.2 of BS 10175.Guidance on assessment are also detailed in the Environment Agency's "Guidance on Requirements for Land Contamination Reports" and Cambridge City Council document Contaminated Land in Cambridge Developers Guide April 2009.
- 1.2.3 Potential for minerals sterilisation will be a consideration in planning and checks on Minerals Safeguarding Areas will be required as part of the planning submission process.
- 1.2.4 All the above have been taken into consideration in the data gathering exercise undertaken as part of this Stage 1b study.

2 Overview of Existing Information

2.1 Introduction

- 2.1.1 Data gathering for the site has focused on four principal source areas as these were considered to offer the most comprehensive source of information for the site:
 - Reports, surveys, drawings and studies held by Hannah Reed/PBA based on their historical association with and work on the site since the 1990s.
 - Reports, surveys, drawings and studies from existing developments on the site held by Cambridge City Council.
 - Data obtained from the British Geological Survey and Cambridgeshire County Council on geology and minerals.
 - Ground investigation reports and information from the original planning application and EIA for the site held by the University.
- 2.1.2 The information provided by the various sources is listed below and areas covered by the various reports presented in Figure 1.

2.2 Data Sources

Desk Studies

- 2.2.1 The information provided by the various sources includes desk studies, and similar information, that have been carried out for particular areas of the site as those areas as have been considered for development. The desk studies and other information gathered comprise:
 - Cape Annex Geoenvironmental Desk Study. Prepared by Ramboll for University of Cambridge dated August 2013.
 - Maxwell Centre Geoenvironmental Desk Study. Prepared by Ramboll for University of Cambridge dated March 2013.
 - University of Cambridge Data Centre Geotechnical and Contamination Desk Study. Prepared by Ove Arup for Lynxvale Ltd dated 14 June 2012.
 - University of Cambridge, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology Geoenvironmental Desk Study. Prepared by Ramboll for University of Cambridge Estate Management dated February 2012.
 - Cambridge University Sports Centre Technical Note on Review of ground contamination potential. Prepared by Arup dated 18 July 2011.
 - High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge Environmental Study. Prepared by WS Atkins dated December 1996.

Ground Investigations

2.2.2 Records of several ground investigations on the site have also been gathered. Details of these ground investigations are summarised in the following tables. The approximate locations of exploratory holes carried as part of these investigations, or the location of zones of investigation for the smaller sites, are presented in Figure 1 at the rear of this report.

CAPE Annexe, University of Cambridge. Engineers: Ramboll. Contractor: Fugro. Date: November 2013

Scope: Ground investigation for a proposed extension to CAPE building

| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring |
|----------------------|----------|---|--|--|------------|
| 4 no. TP | 0.5-4.0m | | Laboratory: | Laboratory: | |
| 5 no. CPT | 20m | MG: 0.4-0.5m GC: 19.5+m (via CPT interpretation) | 19 no. MC/PI 2 no. PSD 16 no. pH/SO₄ | Soil - 5 no. general contaminant suite and asbestos screen | None |

University of Cambridge Data Centre, University of Cambridge. Engineers: Ove Arup. Contractor: BAM Riches. Date: September 2012

| • | • | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring |
| 1 no. BH (CP) | 30m | | <u>In-situ</u> : | l changtan u | |
| | 2.2.0m | | SPTs and | Laboratory: | |
| о по. вн (wS) | 3-3.20 | | U100s in BH | Soil - 10 no. Metals/ | Ground das: |
| | | TS/MG: 0.5- | (CF). | Inorganics/PAH/ | |
| | | 2.7m | Laboratory: | BIEX | CH ₄ 0.3-0.7% |
| | | HD: 0-2.1m | 12 no. MC/PI | | CO ₂ 0.0-0.1% |
| | 0.1.0 | CC: 16.6+m | 12 no. PSD | TPH/VUC/SVUC | O ₂ 20.2-20.7% |
| 9 no. TP | 2-4.3m | 00. 10.0111 | 6 no CPD | 9 no. asbestos | Flow 0.0l/hr |
| | | | 0 110. CDK | screen | |
| | | | 17 no. Triax | 6 no. leachate | |
| | | | 5 no. pH/SO₄ | | |
| | | | | | |

Scope: Ground investigation for a proposed new data centre

University of Cambridge, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology Building. Engineers: Ramboll. Contractor: Ground Engineering & RSK. Date: January & July 2012

Scope: Ground investigation (separate geoenvironmental and geotechnical phases) for a proposed chemical engineering and biotechnology building

| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring |
|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|
| 6 no. TP | 2.8-4.1m | | In-situ: SPTs in BH | Laboratory | |
| 2 no. BH (CP) | 25m & 35m | MG:1.5-3.0m | (CP & WS) Laboratory 21 no. PSD | Soil – 12 no. Metals/ Inorganics/TPH/PAH | Groundwater |
| 4 no. BH (WS) | 0.7-5m | HD: 0.0-1.4m GC:32.0+m | 20 no. MC/PI 21 no. pH/SO₄ 18 no. Triax 4 no. Consol | 9 no. asbestos screen 6 no. leachate | monitoring on 4 occasions |

Cambridge University Sports Centre Phase 1 Western University Campus. Engineers: Arup. Contractor: Ground Engineering. Date October 2011

Scope: Ground investigation for proposed sports centre.

| | | | - | - | | | |
|----------------------|--------|---|--|--|------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring | | |
| 10 no. TP | 3-3.6m | | In-situ: | <u>In-situ:</u> | | | |
| 1 no. BH (CP) | 30m | | | | SPTs in BH | PID in trial pits Laboratory: | |
| 8 no. CPT | 10m | MG:0.4-2.0m HD: 0-1.9m GC: 27.5+m | Laboratory 4 no. PSD 19 no. MC 9 no. PI 6 no. pH/SO₄ 4 no. Triax 6 no. Compaction | Soil 8 no. general contaminant suite 6 no. asbestos screen 6 no. PCB/volatiles 6 no. leachability 5 no. gamma spec radiological analysis | None | | |

Report on a Ground Investigation, Infrastructure Phase 3, Charles Babbage Road. Engineers: Hannah Reed. Contractor: Ground Engineering. Date: June 2010

| Scope: Ground investigation for proposed car park. | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------|--|
| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring | |
| 3 no. BH (CP) | 10-20m | MG:1.0-1.7m HD:0.7m GC:18.2+m | <u>In-situ:</u> U100s <u>Laboratory</u> : 12 no. MC 3 no. Pl 8 no. Consol | None | None | |

Site Investigation Report at Materials Science and Metallurgy Building, University of Cambridge. Engineers: Ramboll. Contractor: ST Consult. Date: December 2009

| Scope: Factual ground investigation report at site of proposed Materials and Metallurgy Building |
|--|
| |

| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring | | | |
|----------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 5 no. BH (WS) | 4-9.5m | - MG: 0.8-2.1m HD: 0.5-1.2m | | | SF | <u>In-situ</u> : SPTs in BH (WS); | l ek ereter u | |
| 3 no. BH (CP) | 15-30m | | SPTs and U100s in BH (CP). Laboratory: | Soil - 19 no. Metals/Inorganics/ | <u>Ground gas</u> : CH ₄ <0.1% | | | |
| 6 no. TP | 2-4m | | HD: 0.5-1.2m | D: 0.5-1.2m 45 no MCs SVOCs | Hydrocarbons/VOCs/ SVOCs | O ₂ 16.8-21.2% | | |
| | | GC: 30+m | 42 no Pl | 5 no. Leachate | Flow <1.0l/hr | | | |
| | | | 29 no. PSD | Groundwater – 6 no. Metals/Inorganics/PAH/ | Groundwater: | | | |
| 5 no. CBR | - | | 14 no. pH/SO₄ | | Dry – 2.4m bal | | | |
| | | | 4 no. Consol | | , , | | | |
| | | | 15 no. Triax | | | | | |

West Cambridge Development – Infrastructure Phase 3, Madingley Road, Cambridge Engineers: Hannah Reed. Contractor: RSA Geotechnics. Date: October 2009

| Scope: Factual ground investigation report for proposed infrastructure route | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---|---|-----------------------------|------------|--|
| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring | |
| 13 no. TP | 1.1-1.3m | TS: 0.3m MG: 0-0.5m HD/GC: 0.8+m | Laboratory: 10 no. MC/PI 10 no. PSD 10 no. pH/SO₄ 10 no. Compaction 10 no. CBR 10 no. MCV 10 no. Triax | None | None | |
| | | | | | | |

West Cambridge Development. Madingley Road, Cambridge - Ground Investigation Report. Engineers: Richard Jackson Plc. Date: September 2008

Scope: Ground investigation for development parcel

| | 1 | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|---|--|---|------------|
| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring |
| 17 no. TP | 2.3-3.2m | TS: 0.1-0.4m MG: 0.3-2.3m HD: 0.6-2.6m GC: 2.9+m | Laboratory 9 no. MC/PI 2 no. CBR 2 no. Compaction | Soil - 16 no. General contaminant suite 14 no. Additional lead tests 4 no. VOCs | None |

West Cambridge Development, Madingley Road, Cambridge. Engineers: Hannah Reed. Contractor: Geotechnical and Environmental Associates. Date: April 2007

Scope: Ground investigation to determine appropriate method of stabilisation for near surface soils

| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring |
|----------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| 7 no. TP | 1.5-2.8m | MG: 0.5-0.8m HD:0.0-1.7m GC: 1.0+m | Laboratory: 2 no. MC/PI/Compaction/ CBR/PSD 2 no. Lime stabilisation suite using 2% lime | None | None |

University of Cambridge, Institute for Manufacturing Building, Charles Babbage Road, Cambridge. Engineers: Ove Arup. Contractor: Ground Engineering. Date 2007

| Scope: Ground | d investigation | n for proposed b | building | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring |
| 2 no. BH (CP) | 30m | MG: 0.3-2.1m | In-situ: SPTs and U100s in | | |
| 6 no. TP | 4-4.1m | HD: 0-1.0m | BH (CP). <u>Laboratory</u> : | Unknown – only explor available for re | atory hole logs eview |
| 9 no. CPT | 10.2-13.5m | GC: 29+m | Unknown – only logs reviewed | | |

| West Cambridge Development Site. Engineers: WSP. Contractor: Fugro. Date 1998 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Scope: Geotec | chnical site inv | vestigation and | contamination survey | / | | | | | | | |
| Exploratory Holes | Depths | Geological Summary | Geotechnical Testing | Geoenvironmental Testing | Monitoring | | | | | | |
| 11 no. BH (CP) | 10-20m | | In-situ: SPTs and U100s in | | | | | | | | |
| 39 no. TP | 1.8-3.3m | T O 0 0 0 | BH (CP). <u>Laboratory</u> : | | | | | | | | |
| 12 no. CPT | 10-15m | TS: 0-0.8m MG: 0-1.4m HD: 0-1.9m GC: 17.5+m | 44 no. MC 16 no. PI 2 no. PSD 19 no. Triax 6 no. Consol 6 no. CBR 18 no. pH/SO₄ | Soil – 36 no. general contaminant suite | None | | | | | | |

| High Cross, | Madingley | Road, Cambr | idge. Engineers: ' | WS Atkins. Date 1 | 996 |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|------|
| Scope: Enviror | nmental study | to investigate i | ncident of cattle pois | oning | |
| High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge. Engineers: WS Atkins. Date 1996Scope: Environmental study to investigate incident of cattle poisoningExploratory HolesDepthsGeological SummaryGeotechnical TestingGeoenvironmental TestingMonitoringSurface or near surfaceSurface or near surface or nearNot applicableState Veterinary Investigation Centre 1995MonitoringNoneNoneADAS 1996 Soil - 7 no. lead ADAS 1996 Soil - 131 no. lead and other metalsNone | | | | Monitoring | |
| Surface or near surface | Surface or near surface | Not applicable | None | <u>State Veterinary</u> <u>Investigation</u> <u>Centre 1995</u> Soil - 7 no. lead <u>ADAS 1996</u> Soil (compound samples) – 46 no. lead <u>Atkins 1996</u> Soil – 131 no. lead and other metals | None |

- 2.2.3 Some desk studies and ground investigation reports make reference to other ground investigations carried out within the site boundary; copies of these investigations have not been retrieved during this stage. These investigations comprise:
 - Ground Investigation for CAPE Building carried out by Geotechnical Engineering in February 2001. The investigation comprised 3 no. boreholes and 6 no. trial pits.
 - Ground Investigation for Physics of Medicine Building carried out by Ground Engineering in April 2006. The investigation comprised 5 no. boreholes and 8 no. trial pits.

Although the reports are currently not held by PBA, the zones of investigation for these sites have been gleaned from other reports and studies. The locations of these zones of ground investigation are shown on Figure 1.

British Geological Survey

- 2.2.4 The British Geological Survey (BGS) on-shore historical borehole record archive has been searched to collect logs of exploratory holes located within or close to the site boundary. The locations of these records are shown on Figure 1. The exploratory hole logs relate to the following developments:
 - Ground investigation for Schlumberger laboratory dated 1991, located in the western area of the site.
 - Ground investigation for University of Cambridge CAD centre dated 1998, located in the western area of the site.
 - Pre-construction ground investigation for Cambridge Western Bypass (now M11 Motorway) dated 1969, located on western edge of site boundary.
 - Madingley Road 33kV Sub-station dated 1989, located on the northern boundary of the site.
 - Unknown investigation for Cambridge City Council dated 1970, located in the north-east corner of the site.

- Ground investigation for Eaton Gate development dated 1995, located just beyond the south-east corner of the site boundary.
- 2.2.5 It should be noted that the positioning of these exploratory holes is based on grid references supplied by BGS for each log, but is not necessarily the actual location of the exploratory hole.

2.3 Site History

- 2.3.1 The overview of the site history has been gleaned from historical Ordnance Survey (OS) maps, and other historical information, presented in desk studies which have been collected as part of this study.
- 2.3.2 The site was largely comprised of agricultural fields during the late 19th and early 20th Centuries. In the early 1940s, the southern and western areas of the site were developed as a wartime industrial facility (the Shorts site). The facility was used to repair bomber aircraft (that had been dismantled at the nearby Bourn airfield) and salvage used parts from redundant bombers. Phase 1 of the Shorts site, comprising hangars, the administration block, canteen and stores were located in the western area of the site and was completed in 1941. Phase 2 of the Shorts site, comprising hangars, offices, a maintenance building and fuel compound were located in the southern area of the site and was completed in 1942.
- 2.3.3 After the war the site was vacated, with site buildings being used by the University, and by the Home Office for storage.
- 2.3.4 By the late 1960s the Phase 1 site in the western area of the site had been demolished and some laboratory buildings were shown in this area. The Phase 2 site buildings in the southern area were still present at this time. The University of Cambridge School of Veterinary Medicine is shown in the central area of the site at this time.
- 2.3.5 By the early 1980s, the M11 Motorway had been constructed on the western boundary of the site. Laboratory buildings, a university Design Centre and a building labelled "British Antarctic Survey" were shown in the western area of the site. The Phase 2 site buildings in the southern area of the site were no longer shown (they were demolished in 1972). This area of the site was taken over by the University Farm and was used for grazing cattle or for grass cutting. Other laboratory buildings were shown in the south-eastern area of the site at this time.
- 2.3.6 The 2002 and 2008 OS maps show further university development in the eastern and western areas of the site.

2.4 Ground and Groundwater Conditions

- 2.4.1 The geological map of the area indicates that the site is completely underlain by Gault Formation (generally referred to as Gault Clay comprising pale to dark grey or blue-grey clay or mudstone). The geological map also indicates that along a very small area of the northern site boundary, the Gault Formation is overlain by Head Deposits (comprising clay, silt, sand and gravel).
- 2.4.2 The Gault Clay was encountered in the all the ground investigations carried out at the site, generally beneath a veneer of topsoil, Made Ground and/or Head Deposits. The Gault Clay was typically described as stiff to very stiff grey/brown becoming grey clay.
- 2.4.3 The Gault Clay is a non-aquifer i.e. a formation generally regarded as containing insignificant quantities of groundwater.
- 2.4.4 Groundwater was generally not encountered during ground investigations at the site, as would be anticipated given the dominant clay geology. Minor seepages were reported in some

exploratory holes, although this was generally from Made Ground and Head Deposits horizons and was not considered to be significant.

2.5 Land Quality

- 2.5.1 In general terms, the ground investigation reports that have been reviewed, that have included geoenvironmental testing, have not identified gross or widespread contamination.
- 2.5.2 An incident of lead poisoning of cattle in the southern area of the site (adjacent to the former Phase 2 Shorts site) in August 1995 was investigated by the Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (MAFF) State Veterinary Service's Veterinary Investigation Centre in 1995 and subsequently by ADAS and WS Atkins in 1996. It was concluded that the animals had died from the ingestion of a grey material (possibly lead paint) located in the hedge of one of the fields. The grey material was found to contain up to 27% lead.
- 2.5.3 The soils in the area of the former Phase 2 Shorts site in the southern area of the site have been subject to contamination testing, as have soils at sites bordering this area. In general, elevated concentrations of contaminants have not been encountered in this area, or in neighbouring areas.
- 2.5.4 The majority of the site was largely greenfield prior to development during the latter part of the 20th Century. As such, the potential for widespread contamination in the remaining undeveloped areas of the site is considered to be low.

2.6 Unexploded Ordnance

- 2.6.1 No specific study of unexploded ordnance (UXO) has been carried out for the whole site. Cambridge was bombed during the Second World War and the Shorts site could be deemed a potential bomb target.
- 2.6.2 Spent ammunition from aircraft guns may have been deposited in the area west of the road between the Phase 1 and 2 areas of the Shorts site.
- 2.6.3 The desk study undertaken by Arup for the University of Cambridge Data Centre (neighbouring the former War Depot area) included a preliminary UXO risk assessment. This assessment indicates that the nearest record of bombs landing west of Cambridge City Centre are located approximately 2km south-east of the site. Based on the assessment, Arup concluded that their site was unlikely to have been bombed during the Second World War.
- 2.6.4 Other preliminary UXO assessments carried out on different areas within the site boundary report the risk of unexploded ordnance as low/moderate based on high level bomb map information supplied by Zetica.
- 2.6.1 No evidence for UXO or other munitions were encountered during previous investigations or during redevelopment of the site areas to date.

2.7 Minerals

- 2.7.1 The site does not fall within a Minerals Safeguarding Area as set out in the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Development Plan-Core Strategy adopted in July 2011.
- 2.7.2 The nature of the ground conditions is such that the potential for mineral extraction at the site would be extremely limited.

2.8 Site Levels, Earthworks and Existing Development

- 2.8.1 The northern site boundary with Madingley Road falls from approximately 19.50-16.80 m OD west to east and the southern site boundary of the site falls from approximately 17.50m to 12.70m OD west to east.
- 2.8.2 Within the site area there is a west to east running ridge that also falls in elevation eastwards from about 19.70m to 14.70m OD broadly through the middle to upper third of the site. The highest areas seem to be along Charles Babbage Road and the Plaza area which has been built up.
- 2.8.3 The large attenuation pond in the southern area was excavated below existing ground level and spoil from this excavation was stabilised with lime and used to construct the Plaza area such that this is the area of highest elevation at the site
- 2.8.4 The site has been subject to various phases of development such that foundations, slabs, road construction and areas of engineered fill including locally lime stabilised soil will be present in the areas of proposed buildings.

3 Geotechnical Considerations

3.1 Introduction

- 3.1.1 This section is intended to give general geotechnical guidance for masterplanning at the site. A number of geotechnical factors and constraints will need to be considered in the design of foundations, earthworks, and infrastructure for the civil engineering and building work during future development. Large areas of the site are 'green field' and would be unaffected by past industrial development. The following sections outline the geotechnical factors that should be taken into account during master planning.
- **3.1.2** The geology of the site consists of Gault Clay variously covered with Superficial Deposits (mainly Head Deposits). Head Deposits may include deposits of glacially re-worked Gault Clay which may be indistinguishable from weathered Gault Clay.

3.2 Foundation Conditions

Made Ground

- 3.2.1 Made Ground has been identified in some of the ground investigations carried out at the site. The Made Ground encountered has been of variable thickness and composition. Local pockets of Made Ground may be found in others areas of the site even where there is no apparent history of industrial development.
- 3.2.2 Due to the inherent variability in composition, thickness and strength, structures and infrastructure constructed on Made Ground may be at risk from high total and/or differential settlements.
- 3.2.3 The potential presence of buried former foundations, structures or other obstructions should not be overlooked as these may cause differential settlements or prevent penetration of piles.

Head Deposits

- 3.2.4 Based on the information obtained it is likely that the Head Deposits, when present, will not exceed 3m in thickness and consist of firm to stiff sandy gravelly clay. Conventional foundations bearing on to Head Deposits are expected to be suitable for lightly loaded structures, but the depth, thickness and composition of the Head Deposits may be highly variable. Foundations that span different or variable soil types may need to be stepped or lightly reinforced.
- 3.2.5 More heavily loaded foundations may need to be extended down to the underlying more competent and consistent Gault Clay stratum, either by using trench fill foundation or by piling.

Gault Clay

- 3.2.6 Traditional shallow spread or strip foundations are likely to be suitable for some developments on the Gault Clay. However, the Gault Clay is susceptible to weathering and softening which could affect the allowable bearing pressure and, consequently the depth of the weathering and foundation design parameters should be established on a site specific basis. Gault Clay is also susceptible to ground movements, shrinkage and swelling, due to seasonal and long term moisture changes. All clay soils consolidate to a varying degree under applied loading, and the allowable bearing pressure will be determined to ensure total and differential settlements remain within structural tolerances.
- 3.2.7 Heavily loaded foundations for major structures may exceed settlement tolerances and in such cases piled foundations will be required. Consequently large span buildings, high rise

structures or buildings that are very sensitive to settlement may need piled foundations at this site, even if situated on undisturbed natural ground.

3.2.8 Piled foundations will be required where buildings are to be constructed with undercrofts.

3.3 Shrinkage and Swelling of Clay Soils

- 3.3.1 Previous ground investigations at the site have shown that the Gault Clay is of high to very high plasticity and is therefore particularly susceptible to volume change.
- 3.3.2 Seasonal changes in moisture content can affect the near surface soils and foundations in clay soils adopt a minimum depth to avoid such movements. Vegetation such as trees and dense hedgerows can desiccate clay soils to considerable depth and the shrinkage or swelling caused by the planting or removal of trees and hedgerows on clay soils is a common cause of structural damage. New trees planted near foundations cause shrinkage, while the ground below trees and hedgerows that have been removed and built over can take many years to resaturate. In doing so, the ground can swell, causing heave and structural damage.

3.4 Slope Stability

- 3.4.1 In general, the gently sloping topography of the site would not be expected to give rise to significant slope stability issues. However, the presence of Head Deposits at the surface increases the risk of slope instability. Relict slip surfaces roughly parallel to the ground surface and often covering large areas may be present within the Head Deposits themselves, and also in the underlying periglacially weathered clay.
- 3.4.2 Excavations through these relict slip surfaces, e.g. to create level platforms for development, may lead to reactivation and ground instability. Head Deposits may have already been disturbed by earthwork operations in some areas

3.5 Roads

- 3.5.1 Roads constructed in areas where natural soft materials are present at formation level, may require capping layers, or alternatively stabilisation with lime or cement to minimise consumption of granular resources. Roads in areas of Made Ground will require investigation prior to construction to determine the nature and thickness of the fill material and its properties, and mitigating measures designed accordingly.
- 3.5.2 CBR tests carried out for the Phase 3 infrastructure ground investigation recorded CBR values of approximately 6% (unsoaked) for near surface samples collected from the Head Deposits/weathered Gault Clay.

3.6 General Excavations

3.6.1 Excavations in natural ground, such as for services, should not present any problems specific to the site area. Clay soils predominate and consequently groundwater inflows are likely to be slight and easily controlled. Excavations will require side support wherever man entry is required and in soft or loose material side support should also be provided wherever there is a risk of collapse.

3.7 Aggressive Ground Conditions

3.7.1 The Gault Clay is known to contain sulphate minerals which in the presence of groundwater and air can give rise to aggressive conditions for buried concrete. Previous investigations have reported the sulphate conditions to be DS-3, according to BS 8500-1:2005, and concrete

for foundations specified accordingly. A check on conditions should be made prior to construction.

3.8 Infiltration Drainage

3.8.1 The Gault Clay is practicably impermeable so there is no scope for the use of infiltration drainage for the attenuation of runoff from buildings and paved areas. Surface water systems should be designed in accordance with the principles of sustainable urban drainage, SUDS.

3.9 Re-use of Materials

- 3.9.1 From the overview of earthworks testing carried out as part of previous on-site ground investigations, the re-use of site won natural materials for earthworks is likely to be practicable. The Gault Clay and Head Deposit materials are considered to be suitable for most applications although moisture conditioning may be required to achieve optimum conditions for some applications.
- 3.9.2 Successful lime stabilisation tests have been carried out on samples of Gault Clay recovered from the southern area of the site as part of an earlier ground investigation.

3.10 Existing Buildings and Infrastructure

- 3.10.1 There is existing infrastructure and drainage in areas of proposed buildings which will either require diverting or being built over which may necessitate use of piled foundations.
- 3.10.2 Foundations and slabs to existing structures could clash with proposed foundations, there are potential areas of disturbed ground due to previous building work and there may be limits on foundation techniques such as piling due to vibration.
- 3.10.3 Lime stabilised soils will probably require a piled foundation solution to support structures unless this material is excavated and levels reduced.

4 Land Quality Considerations

4.1 Introduction

- 4.1.1 The site was largely used as agricultural fields up until the Second World War when parts of the southern and western areas of the site were developed for an industrial war-time use. Since then, these industrial buildings have been demolished and the site has been developed primarily for university buildings and laboratories.
- 4.1.2 The site is situated in a relatively low sensitivity geoenvironmental setting for the following reasons:
 - The solid geology underlying the site is the low permeability Gault Clay a non-aquifer.
 - There are no significant groundwater abstractions within the site boundary.
 - There are no Source Protection Zones (SPZs) on or overlapping the site.
 - There are no significant European designated environmental receptors on the site such as Ramsar sites or Special Protection Areas (SPAs).
- 4.1.3 The overall potential for land contamination issues on the site is low.

4.2 Contamination

- 4.2.1 The main currently undeveloped areas of the site are largely "greenfield" land and these areas of the site are considered relatively low risk with respect to potential land quality constraints.
- 4.2.2 Potential sources of contamination have been identified from desk studies carried out within the site boundary: area of the Phase 2 Shorts site (aircraft maintenance facility) present in the southern area between 1942 and 1972, areas of Made Ground, areas of the site where construction materials have been deposited or stored, electrical substations and areas of waste storage associated with on-site laboratories.
- 4.2.3 From the findings of ground investigations carried out at the site, the site history and the natural ground conditions, it is considered unlikely that soil contamination will represent a significant risk to future development at the site but may be present locally around point sources or related to specific site activities.
- 4.2.4 There may be locally elevated levels of ground gas and carbon dioxide in particular associated with deeper areas of made ground that may require some form of gas mitigation for buildings.

4.3 Re-use of Soil

4.3.1 The potential for cut and fill and on site reuse of arisings will be limited by site levels, existing development and density of future development .Off-site disposal of soil is therefore possible and soil chemistry and the level of contamination will have an impact on the cost of disposal particularly where Made Ground is excavated.

5 Potential Development Constraints and Opportunities

5.1 Geotechnical Constraints

There are no major geotechnical constraints to site development but PBA's geotechnical appraisal has identified the potential for the following issues, some local and others widespread that will need to be taken into consideration in terms of building form and layout and which will impact on the cost of development.

Areas of potential geotechnical constraints are presented in Figure 2.

- The presence of Made Ground with variable physical properties potentially requiring the deepening of shallow foundations or necessitating piled foundations.
- The potential of reactivating relict slip surfaces during excavation;
- The potential for shrinkage and swelling in the natural strata requiring the deepening of shallow foundations or necessitating piled foundations;
- The possible presence of naturally elevated sulphate in the Gault Clay strata requiring specific buried concrete design;
- The limited potential for infiltration drainage;
- The presence of clay sub-grades which are susceptible to softening and trafficking requiring the importation of capping material or requiring soil stabilisation;
- Existing area of lime stabilised fill buildings piled.
- The locations of existing development foundations to new buildings or necessitates specific foundation types.

5.2 Land Quality Constraints

- 5.2.1 There are no major land quality constraints that will impact on future development, but PBA have identified a number of issues that will need to be taken into consideration.
 - The potential for localised areas of Made Ground that are gassing;
 - The potential for localised hot spots of contamination around point sources that require treatment/removal;
 - The classification of waste materials for off-site disposal;
 - The potential presence of unexploded ordnance (UXO).

5.3 Geotechnical Opportunities

The anticipated ground conditions at the site will offer relatively straightforward conditions for future development because:

• Generally the site is level with limited earthworks or site re-profiling required.

- Site soils are generally suitable for shallow foundations for lightly loaded structures.
- Overall the sub-grade conditions at the site are favourable.
- There is potential for re-using site won natural materials in earthworks for future development.

5.4 Land Quality Opportunities

Land quality is not a major issue due to the largely greenfield nature of the site.

- The site will not be classed as "Contaminated Land";
- No site wide remediation will be required;
- There are no major sensitive environmental receptors on or off-site;
- Volumes of materials requiring off-site disposal will be limited.

6 Data Gaps

6.1 Site History

6.1.1 To date, the history of the site has been pieced together from available information presented in desk studies focussed on small areas of the site. A complete overview of the history of the site, including those areas yet to be developed, would be beneficial moving forward to support the future Environment Statement and planning applications.

6.2 Ground and Groundwater Conditions

- 6.2.1 Various ground investigations have been carried out at the site over the last 20 years. However, there are still some areas of the site, particularly in the north-central area and also in the eastern area (east of the School of Veterinary Medicine) which have not been subject to ground investigation.
- 6.2.2 The ground conditions in these areas are unlikely to be significantly different to other investigated areas of the site, although confirmatory investigation would be required for future development in these areas.
- 6.2.3 Where piled foundations may be required deep boreholes will be necessary.

6.3 Existing Buildings and Infrastructure

6.3.1 The nature and extent of existing building foundations and slabs will be required given the proposed density of the development where building foundations and slabs could clash and where there may be restrictions on for example piling due to vibration or impact on adjoining structures.

6.4 Land Quality

- 6.4.1 No site wide investigation or assessment of land quality has been undertaken for the site. Assessment has been on a project by project basis and therefore there are data gaps in information.
- 6.4.2 Previous locations of historical buildings and both historical and current activities that could have given rise to potential contamination such as the aircraft maintenance facility will require targeted investigation.

6.5 UXO

6.5.1 The presence of hangars and aircraft maintenance facility on the site which may have been targeted during WWII will require further study to determine the overall risk rating from UXO. At this stage, there is insufficient information to assess the risks of UXO at the site.

6.6 Archaeology

6.6.1 Although the site has been developed over the last few decades with buildings and infrastructure, the potential for archaeological remains will need to be taken into account in masterplanning.

6.7 Existing Processes and Activities

- 6.7.1 Information on existing processes and activities that take place in each building, particularly in relation to emissions and discharges, storage and waste management, will be required to fully assess potential for contamination and pollution.
- 6.7.2 Existing surface water quality information will similarly be required from attenuation ponds and other existing on-site drainage.

7 Recommendations

7.1 Recommended Activities

- 7.1.1 The further work recommended to ensure a comprehensive understanding of all ground related aspects of the site that will contribute to site master planning and Environmental Statement preparation is detailed in Appendix A and summarised below. Proposed exploratory hole locations are presented in Figure 3.
 - A comprehensive Phase 1 desk study suitable for planning and for EIA for the site to include:
 - i. An up to date Envirocheck report, including historical OS maps, covering the whole of the site area.
 - ii. Liaison and consultation with Cambridge City Council and University of Cambridge Estates to collect ground condition data they hold for the site.
 - iii. Review of the original EIA and development specific information that was not obtained or was unavailable in time for Stage 1b.
 - iv. A detailed review and summary of exploratory hole logs and geoenvironmental and geotechnical laboratory testing on soil samples retrieved from the site during previous ground investigations.
 - v. A preliminary UXO assessment for the site.
 - vi. Review of existing processes and activities including discharges, emissions, surface water quality, storage and waste disposal.
 - A Phase 2 intrusive investigation to include:
 - i. Investigation in areas where there is currently a gap in data.
 - ii. Investigation targeted at specific areas where either land quality or ground conditions are of potential concern or where detailed design is required for specific land parcels.
 - iii. Classification of soils in terms of waste disposal.

Figures

- 1. Plan of Previous Ground Investigations
- 2. Geotechnical Constraints
- 3. Proposed Exploratory Hole Location Plan

Appendix A

Scope of Additional Work

1. Phase 1 Ground condition Assessment

The objective of the Phase 1 is to identify the likely ground conditions and environmental setting which might have associated environmental liabilities or which may affect development in those areas which are currently undeveloped. A combined ground condition assessment including geotechnical information will also appraise the likely foundation requirements and geological or geotechnical constraints at the site.

A Phase 1 Ground Condition Assessment is the minimum requirement under the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) definition of "site investigation information" for sites where development is proposed.

The Phase 1 would comprise a desk study to collect relevant information in the public domain, a detailed review of information collated from previous desk studies and ground investigations, a site walkover and a Tier 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment (qualitative). UK policy and legislation promote the use of a staged risk based approach to the assessment of ground quality/conditions. The underlying principle is the evaluation of *pollutant linkages* in order to assess whether the presence of a source of contamination could potentially lead to harmful consequences.

The basic requirements of a Phase 1 are set out in the Model Procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land (CLR 11), Annex A of BS 5930 and Section 6.2 of BS 10175. The assessment also considers the requirements detailed in the Environment Agency's "Guidance on Requirements for Land Contamination Reports".

Given the former wartime use of parts of the site, it is also recommended that a preliminary unexploded ordnance (UXO) survey is carried out as part of the Phase 1 Ground Condition Assessment to assess the risk of UXO to be present on the site.

2. Phase 2 Ground Condition Assessment

An intrusive ground investigation (Phase 2) will provide information on the ground conditions and geotechnical parameters for the design of the geotechnical aspects of proposed development in those areas currently undeveloped. In addition, information on land contamination is obtained at the same time to verify the findings of the Phase 1 assessment and confirm the assessed contamination risk associated with proposed development.

Based on the extent of previous ground investigations at the site, and the known historical uses of the site (particularly the former Shorts site), the proposed scope of intrusive work to fill in the gaps of areas currently un/under investigated at the site could comprise:

- i) The sinking of seven boreholes to a depth of about 15 m using cable percussion techniques with standard penetration testing and recovery of soil samples.
- ii) The excavation of twenty-six trial pits to examine the near surface ground conditions including the recovery of samples of the soils encountered.
- iii) The construction of groundwater and ground gas monitoring wells in selected boreholes together with the monitoring of groundwater and ground gas levels.
- iv) Geotechnical testing of selected soil samples to determine general geotechnical parameters.

- v) Chemical analysis of soil samples for a range of potential contaminants (the exact testing suite would depend on the outcome of Phase 1).
- vi) Waste acceptance criteria testing

The need for any further work beyond the Phase 1 and 2 assessments, for example detailed plot specific ground investigations to assist in design of building foundations, remediation strategies, verification reports etc., will depend on the findings of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 assessments and it is recommended that the proposals for any further work are addressed on completion of these assessments.

3. ES Chapter

Following completion of the ground condition assessment studies, a chapter on Ground Conditions and Land Contamination which will present an assessment of the likely impact of the proposed development on aspects of the land and water environment will be required for inclusion in the Environmental Statement.

Consultation with the regulators at an early stage is recommended as this promotes stakeholder engagement and assists in the appropriate scoping of works for key milestone deliverables.

Appendix G Extracts from British Geological Survey (BGS) Borehole Logs

BGS maps – Accessed on 29/9/2015

Soilscapes – Accessed on 2/10/2015

| Norwest Holst S | ioil | Engi | inee | ering | Ltd. | Bore | hole No. |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| British Geo Contract No. F9081 | EHO | LELO | G | TLU | SNW 196 | | |
| Location Schlumberger, Cambridge Buro Happold | | 42 | 27 | Sheet | of2 | | |
| Method of Boring. Cable Percussion | | 59 | 06 | Ground | Level | m. | 4.O.D. |
| Diameter of Borehole. 150mm | | | | Date | 27/2/91 | | |
| Description of Strata | Legend | Depth Below G.L.(m) | O.D. Level (m) | Casing Depth at Sampling | Sampling and Coring | "N"/ R.Q.D.% | Daily Progress |
| Firm yellowish brown silty CLAY with | X | 0.30 | 20.97 | | 0.00-0.10 | ritish Geolo | rical Survey |
| a little fine rounded gravel. | x o | 0.70 | 20.57 | | 0.50-0.70 | | - |
| Soft to firm dark grey silty CLAY with a little fine to medium sub- | a 0 0 | 1.00 | 20.27 | | 0.70-0.80 | | |
| Firm to stiff light brown mottled | | 1.60 | 19.67 | | 1.50-1.95 | "8" | - |
| grey white sandy CLAY with some British Genouffine rounded gravel. British Genouffine | X | | | | u It S n Geological Surv | | |
| Soft to firm orange brown yory gandy | X | | | | | | |
| CLAY with much fine to medium | | | | | 2.50-2.80 | | |
| rounded and a little coarse sub- angular to sub-rounded gravel | | | | | 2.80-2.90 | | - |
| Stiff blue grev fissured silty | * | | | | | | 1 |
| CLAY. | | | | | 3.50-3.95 | "14" | 1 |
| British Geological Survey | x | ogical Surve | a - | | s | ritish Geolo | gical Survey |
| | | | | | Π | | 3 |
| | -X- | | | | 4.50-4.90 | | - |
| | * | | | | (90) | | - |
| | | | | | 4.90-5.00 | | |
| | X | | | | | | - |
| Buiran degodical survey Buiran deological si | | | | | emish deological sulv | sy. | 1 |
| | X | | | | 6.00-6.45 | "18" | - |
| | * | | | | s | | - |
| | - X | | | | | | - |
| | - <u>x</u> | | | | 7.10-7.20 | | |
| British Geological Suivey | x | logical Surv | | | 7 50-7 00 | iritish Geolo | gical Suive |
| | - X | | | | (90) | | E |
| | * | | | F | 7.90-8.00 | | - |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | 3 |
| | × | | | | 9.00-9.45 | "28" | - |
| British Geological Survey British Geological St | <u> </u> | | | | eritish Geological Sun | ay and | 1 |
| | *- | | | | | | - |
| | ×x- | | | | | | |
| Type of Sample Groundwaters, Notice | ncount Wa | ter etc.) | () U | 100 Bl | ows | | |
| b opr - lucated | asound | crea a | aring | araren. | ug. | | |
| IS S.P.T. III Undisturbed | | | | | | | airal Surre |
| Ic C.P.T. X Vane | | | | | | | Arear prinka) |
| 0 Jar 🛆 Water | | | | | | | |
| Bulk Piezometer Water levels are subject to seaso | nal or tidal | variations | and shoul | d not be ta | ken as constant | | |

| I G E S Method Dia (mm) Date & Casing Date b | 19 Talism KENILWO CV8 1JB. Tel: 0192 Fax: 0192 ive Hand | an Square, RTH, 6 851113 6 851394 | Job No 676 | 9 Clie | Beologic | CADCENT | RE, CAMBRIDGE. | Brittish Gei | BOREHO | LE LOC |
|--|--|--|----------------|----------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| Method Endri Dia (mm) Date & Casing Dente | Tel: 0192 Fax: 0192 | 6 851113 6 851394 | 676 | 9 Clie | | | | | | |
| Method Endr Dia (mm) 100 Date & Casing Deate | ive Hand | 6 851394 | 1 | | ent | : YORKON | LIMITED. | | BH | 1 |
| Endr Dia (mm) 100 Date & Casing | ive Hand | | Date | Eng | gineer | : | Drilling Crew | Logged By | Sheet 1 | of 1 |
| Dia (mm) 100 Date & Casing | I Coor | System. | | 27/0 | 04/98 | | Danetre Drilling. | BW | | 1:25 |
| Date & Casing | 0 | ď | | Gro m. | ound L | evel | | | | |
| Debru Bla | Depth sh Geomaca | Sample Surve Type | Water Level | SPT N or Cu | M/C % | Depth m _{Britist} | D Geological Survey | escription of Strata | British | Leger |
| E | | | | | | - | Turf over TOPSOII | L. | | |
| | 0.50 | Р | | 75 | | 0.20 | Firm to stiff gro fine chalk and f | ey brown silty CLA lint gravel. | Y with rare | |
| E | 0.75 | | | | | | | | | |
| n eological Survey | 0.80 | P | | 85(sh) | Geologia | al Survey | | British Gei | ological Survey | |
| | 1.00 | D&P | | 110 | | 1 | - becoming stiff | from 1.0m bgl. | | |
| | 1.50 | D&P | | 125 | | | | 2 | | |
| E Britt | sh Geological | Surver | | | | Britis | 3eological Suivey | | British | Geodesica |
| Ē | 2.00 | Р | | 200 | | 2.00 - | Very stiff grey | mottled brown quid | kly | Ê. |
| E | 2.25 | D | | | | | becoming groy at | | | × |
| E | 2.50 | р | | 175 | | 1.1.1 | | | | × |
| Geological Sulvey | | | | British | Geologia | el Survey | | British Ger | ological Survey | x x |
| -27/04/98 | | | | | | 3.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | eneral in the second |] | |
| Bolt | sh Geological | Buitvey | | | | Britis | Seological Survey | | British | Geological |
| | | | | | | _ | 31 | 761 Th 45000 | | |
| Gralogical Survey | | | | British | Geologic | an Survey | | | | |
| Ē | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | | | - | | | |
| Remarks 1/ Groundwi | ater was | not encounte | ered. | | _ | | Struck Cased | GROUNDWATE | R | ks |
| Brite | sh Geological | Survey | | | | Britist | Geological Survey | | British | Geological |

| Ľ | GES | CV | 3 1JB. | | 676 | Clie | nt | YORKON | LIMITED. | | вн 2 | |
|--|-------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Fax | 01926 85 | 1394 | | Eng | ineer | | | | Sheet 1 | of 1 |
| Γ | Vethod | | used from | | Date | 27/0 | 1 100 | | Drilling Crew | Logged By | Scale | 25 |
| 1 | Endi Dia (mm) | rive | Hand Syst | em. | 1 | Gro | 4/98 und L | evel | Danetre britting. | BW | 1 | 1 |
| L | 10 | 00 | | | | m. | | | - | | | |
| L | Casing Depth R | ilish.G | Depth m. | Sample Type | Water Level | SPT N or Cu | M/C % | Depth m. | E Gentopical Autoro | Description of Strata | RritshBe | Legend |
| Ē | | | | | | | | | Turf over TOPSDI | L. | | |
| Ē | | | | | | | | 0.20 | Pea Gravel (Fren | nch Drain). MADE GRO | DUND. | |
| E | - | | | | Z | | | | 1 | | | |
| F | | 0.60 | , | P | | 125 | | 0.60 | Stiff grey brown | silty CLAY with o | ccasional | |
| Ļ | ological Survey | 0.80 | 5 | Ď | | British | eologi | al Survey | | British Geo | logical Survey | * |
| Ē | | | | | | | | 1.00 - | | | | |
| Ē | | 1.10 | 0 | D&P | | 150 | | | Very stiff grey becoming grey si | mottled brown quic ilty CLAY. | kly | 1 |
| Ė | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | * |
| È | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| F | - | 1.5 | D | Р | | 150 | | | E | 8. S. | | È |
| F | | 1.7 | F | | | | | | - | | | * |
| F | | 0.00 | ological surv | | | | | BUDS | Seelogical Survey | | | · , |
| E | | 2.0 | D | р | | 160 | | 2.00 - | - | | | × |
| E | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| ł | 27/04/98 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| E | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Ē | - | | | | | | | - | - | | | |
| ţ | ological Survey | | | | | British | 3eologi | al Survey | 4 | British Geo | logical Survey | |
| Ē | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| ŀ | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | 1 |
| ŧ | | | | | | | | 1 ' | 7 | | | |
| ŀ | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| F | | | | | | | | 1 | 3 | | | |
| ł | _ | | | | | | | 1 . | 3 | | | |
| ł | Br | ilish G | eological Surv | | | | | Britis | Deological Survey | | British Ge | ological Si |
| ł | - | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| ł | - | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| I | | | | | | | | 1 | - | | | |
| 1 | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | - | | | |
| I | alonical Summer | | | | | Britten | a oloni | Rimor | ÷. | | | 1 |
| 1 | - Tres on (68) | | | | | Consti | - Peroditi | ours) : | | | | 1 |
| - | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | 111 | | | |
| - | - | 1 | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| Canada Canad | - | | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| | Remarks | | | | | | - | | | GROUNDWATE | R | |
| | | | | | | | | | Struck Cased | 20 mins Scaled | Remarks | |
| | Br | itish G | eological Surv | ά. | | | | Britis | 0.50 h Geological Survey | Wa Dr | iter from Frenc ain. British Ge | h ological Si |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Beological Survey | 19 T | alisman S | quare, | Job Ne | . Sit | Beologia | CADCENT | RE, CAMBRID | GE. | | British | BO | REHO | LE | LOC |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------|------|
| IGES | CV8 | 1JB. | | 676 | 9 Cli | ent | YORKON | LIMITED. | | | | BH | 1 | 3 | |
| ilije. | Tel: Fax: | 01926 85 | 11113 | | En | gineer | | | | | | Sh | eet 1 | of | 1 |
| Method | | 22-03 | | Date | 1927.0 | | | Drilling Cre | w | Logge | d By | Sc | ale | | - |
| End | rive | fand Syst | em. | 1 | 27/ | 04/98 | nual | Danetre Dr | illing. | · | BW | | | 1:25 | |
| Dia (mm) | 00 | Coord | | | m. | | avei | | | | | | | | |
| Date & Casing Depth | C | epth m. | Sample Type | Water Level | SPT N or Cu | M/C % | Depth m. | | | Descriptio | in of Strat | a | Quinci | L | ege |
| Ē | | | | | | | | Turf over | r TOPSO | π. | | | | KU/AN | X |
| | | | | | | | 0.20 | Firm to s fine chal | stiff g lk and | rey brown flint gra | n silty C avel and | LAY with occasion | n rare nal | × • × | |
| Ē | 0.50 | | Р | | 75- | | 0.50 - | Stiff gre occasiona | ey mott al root | led brown lets in t | n silty (upper sur | CLAY with face. | 1 | | - |
| E | 0.70 | | D | | 110 | | | - | | | | | | ×× | |
| Geological Survey | 0.90 | | P | | 125 | Geologic | al Survey | 3 | | | | | | F | × |
| - | 1.00 | | Р | | 150 | | 1 | - becomin | ng dark | grey an | d very st | tiff from | n | × | |
| E | | | | | | | | 1.00m bgl | 1. | | | | | t | × |
| E | 1.25 | | D | | | | | | | | | | | Ê | |
| F | | | | | 100 | | | 1 | | | | | | t, | × |
| E | 1.50 | | | | 125 | | | 1 | | | | | | F | |
| È | 1 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * |
| E | 10.00 | | | | | | BURST | eelogical sure | | | | | | (580 K | |
| E | 2 00 | | P | | 160 | | | 3 | | | | | | × | * |
| F | 1.00 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | × | |
| E | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | × | * |
| Ē | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | - | _ |
| E. | 2.50 | | D&P | | 150 | | - | 4 | | | | | | | .* |
| Elonical Ruman | | | | | Grittels | Chalorite | (printing | - | | | | | | Ľ | **** |
| E | | | | | (GUILING) | Chorogic | o ourrey. | | | | | | | | * |
| Familie | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | Ľ | - |
| -27/04/98 | 3.00 | i | Р | | 175 | | 3.00 - | | | | · · · · · | | | - | × |
| E | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| F | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| E | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Br | itish Geo | logical Surve | | | | | Britist | Geological Surv | 107 | | | | British | Geoleg | gica |
| F | | | | | 1 | | | - | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| E- | | | | | | | 1 1 | - | | | | | | | |
| F | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | - 1 | |
| E | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| E | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| Gentegical Survey | | | | | British | Geologic | a Survey | - | | | British | Geological S | Survey | | |
| E | | | | | | | | 111 | | | | | | | |
| E | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 6 |
| F | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | 1 | | | I | 1 | | | | | GF | ROUNDWA | ATER | | | L |
| 1/ Ground | water | was not | encounte | red. | | | | Struck | Cased | 20 mins | Sealed | | Rema | rks | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | and the state of t | | | | | | | |
| Br | | | | | | | | Geological Surv | | | | | | | |